arXiv:math/0501179v1 [math.AC] 12 Jan 2005

RESOLUTION OF THE RESIDUE CLASS FIELD VIA ALGEBRAIC DISCRETE MORSE THEORY

MICHAEL JÖLLENBECK AND VOLKMAR WELKER

Abstract. Forman's Discrete Morse theory is studied from an algebraic viewpoint. Analogous to independent work of Emil Sköldberg we show, that this theory can be extended to chain complexes of free modules over a ring. We provide three applications of this theory:

(i) We construct a new resolution of the residue class field k over the k-algebra A, where $A = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\mathfrak{a}$ is the quotient of the commutative polynomial ring in n indeterminates by an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$. This resolution is a commutative analogue of the Anick resolution in the non-commutative case. We prove minimality of the resolution if $\mathfrak{a}$ admits a quadratic Gröbner basis or if $\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(\mathfrak{a})$ is a complete intersection.

(ii) Now let $A = k\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n\rangle/\mathfrak{a}$ be the quotient of the polynomial ring in n non-commuting indeterminates by a two-sided ideal $\mathfrak{a}$. Sköldberg shows how to construct the Anick resolution of A as well as the two-side Anick resolution via Algebraic Discrete Morse theory. We derive the same result and prove in addition the minimality of these resolutions and the rationality of the Poincaré-Betti series in special cases.

(iii) In the situation of (ii) we construct a resolution of A as an $A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}$-module. We show that this resolution is minimal in special cases and thereby generalize a result by BACH used to calculate Hochschild homology in theses cases.

1. Introduction

Discrete Morse theory as developed by Forman [5],[6] allows to construct, starting from a (regular) CW-complex, a new homotopy equivalent CW-complex with fewer cells. In this paper we describe and apply an algebraic version of this theory, which we call 'Algebraic Discrete Morse Theory.' An analogous theory was developed by Sköldberg [11]. We consider chain complexes $C_\bullet = (C_i, \partial_i)_{i\geq 0}$ of free modules C_i over a ring R. A priori we alway fix a basis the C_i – the basis elements

---

Date: February 1, 2008.

Both authors were supported by EU Research Training Network "Algebraic Combinatorics in Europe", grant HPRN-CT-2001-00272.

play the role of the cells in the topological situation. Then applying Algebraic Discrete Morse theory constructs a new chain complex of free R-modules such that the homology of the two complexes coincides.

In Section 2 we describe Algebraic Discrete Morse theory and apply the theory in the remaining sections in three situations.

In Section 3 we consider resolutions of the field k over a quotient $A = S/\mathfrak{a}$ of the commutative polynomial ring $S = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ in n variables by an ideal $\mathfrak{a}$. We construct a free resolution of k as an A-module, which can be seen as a generalization of the Anick resolution to the commutative case. Our resolution is minimal, if $\mathfrak{a}$ admits a quadratic Gröbner basis. Also we give an explicit description of the minimal resolution of k, if the initial ideal of $\mathfrak{a}$ is a complete intersection.

Section 4 considers the same situation in the non-commutative case. We apply Algebraic Discrete Morse theory in order to obtain the Anick resolution of the residue class field k over $A = k\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n\rangle/\mathfrak{a}$ from the normalized Bar resolution, where $k\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n\rangle$ is the polynomial ring in n non-commuting indeterminates, and $\mathfrak{a}$ is a two-sided ideal with a finite Gröbner basis. This result has also been obtained by Sköldberg [11]. In addition to his results we prove the minimality of this resolution when $\mathfrak{a}$ is monomial or the Gröbner basis consists of homogeneous polynomials which all have the same degree. In these cases it follows from our results that the Poincaré-Betti series is rational. In particular, we get the rationality of the Hilbert series if $\mathfrak{a}$ admits a quadratic Gröbner basis.

In Section 5 we give a projective resolution of A as an $A \otimes A^{op}$-module, where again $A = k\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n\rangle/\mathfrak{a}$. Using this resolution we obtain the minimal resolution of $A = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\langle f_1, \ldots f_s\rangle$ as an $A \otimes A^{op}$-module, when the initial ideal of $\langle f_1, \ldots f_s\rangle$ is a complete intersection. In case $\mathfrak{a} = \langle f\rangle$ such a construction was first given by BACH in [4].

In the Appendix A we derive the normalized Bar and Hochschild resolution as a sample application of Algebraic Discrete Morse theory and in Appendix B we give our proof of this theory.

2. Algebraic discrete Morse theory

In this section we derive an algebraic version of Discrete Morse theory as developed by Forman (see [5], [6]). Our theory is a generalization of results from [2] and an almost identical theory has been developed independently by Sköldberg [11]. Our applications require a slightly more general setting than the one covered in [11] therefore we give a detailed exposition of the theory here and provide proofs in Appendix B.

Let R be a ring and $\mathsf{C}_\bullet = (C_i, \partial_i)_{i\geq 0}$ be a chain complex of free R-modules C_i. We choose a basis $X = \bigcup_{i=0}^n X_i$, such that $C_i \simeq \bigoplus_{c\in X_i} R\ c$. ¿From now on we write the differentials ∂_i with respect to the basis X in the following form:

$$\partial_i : \begin{cases} C_i & \to & C_{i-1}, \\ c & \mapsto & \partial_i(c) = \displaystyle\sum_{c'\in X_{i-1}} [c:c']\cdot c'. \end{cases}$$

Given the complex $\mathsf{C}_\bullet$ and the basis X we construct a directed, weighted graph $G(\mathsf{C}_\bullet) = (V, E)$. The set of vertices V of $G(\mathsf{C}_\bullet)$ is the basis $V = X$ and the set E of (weighted) edges is given by the rule

$$(c, c', [c:c']) \in E \quad :\Leftrightarrow \quad c \in X_i, c' \in X_{i-1} \text{ and } [c:c'] \neq 0.$$

We often omit the weight and write $c \to c'$ to denote an edge in E. Also by abuse of notation we write $e \in G(\mathsf{C}_\bullet)$ to indicate that e is an edge in E.

Definition 2.1. A subset $\mathcal{M} \subset E$ of the set of edges is called an acyclic matching, if it satisfies the following three conditions:

(1) (Matching) Each vertex $v \in V$ lies in at most one edge $e \in \mathcal{M}$.
(2) (Invertibility) For all edges $(c, c', [c:c']) \in \mathcal{M}$ the weight $[c:c']$ lies in the center of R and is a unit in R.
(3) (Acyclicity) The graph $G_{\mathcal{M}}(V, E_{\mathcal{M}})$ has no directed cycles, where $E_{\mathcal{M}}$ is given by

$$E_{\mathcal{M}} := (E \setminus \mathcal{M}) \cup \left\{ \left(c', c, \frac{-1}{[c:c']}\right) \text{ with } (c, c', [c:c']) \in \mathcal{M} \right\}.$$

For an acyclic matching $\mathcal{M}$ on the graph $G(\mathsf{C}_\bullet) = (V, E)$ we introduce the following notation, which is an adaption of the notation introduced in [5] to our situation.

(1) We call a vertex $c \in V$ critical with respect to $\mathcal{M}$ if c does not lie in an edge $e \in \mathcal{M}$; we write

$$X_i^{\mathcal{M}} := \{c \in X_i \mid c \text{ critical }\}$$

for the set of all critical vertices of homological degree i.
(2) We write $c' \leq c$, if $c \in X_i$, $c' \in X_{i-1}$ and $[c:c'] \neq 0$.
(3) $\mathrm{Path}(c, c')$ is the set of paths from c to c' in the graph $G_{\mathcal{M}}(\mathsf{C}_\bullet)$.

(4) The weight $w(p)$ of a path $p = c_1 \to \cdots \to c_r \in \mathrm{Path}(c_1, c_r)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} w(c_1 \to \cdots \to c_r) &:= \prod_{i=1}^{r-1} w(c_i \to c_{i+1}), \\ w(c \to c') &:= \begin{cases} -\dfrac{1}{[c:c']} & , \quad c \le c', \\ \\ [c:c'] & , \quad c' \le c. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

(5) We write $\Gamma(c,c') = \sum\limits_{p \in \mathrm{Path}(c,c')} w(p)$ for the sum of weights of all paths from c to c'.

Now we are in position to define a new complex $\mathsf{C}_\bullet^{\mathcal{M}}$ which we call the Morse complex of $\mathsf{C}_\bullet$ with respect to $\mathcal{M}$. The complex $\mathsf{C}_\bullet^{\mathcal{M}} = (C_i^{\mathcal{M}}, \partial_i^{\mathcal{M}})_{i \ge 0}$ is defined by

$$C_i^{\mathcal{M}} := \bigoplus\nolimits_{c \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}} R\, c,$$

$$\partial_i^{\mathcal{M}} : \begin{cases} C_i^{\mathcal{M}} & \to & C_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}}, \\ c & \mapsto & \sum\limits_{c' \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}}} \Gamma(c,c')c', \end{cases} .$$

Theorem 2.2. *$\mathsf{C}_\bullet^{\mathcal{M}}$ is a complex of free R-modules and is homotopy equivalent to the complex $\mathsf{C}_\bullet$. In particular, for all $i \ge 0$*

$$H_i(\mathsf{C}_\bullet) \cong H_i(\mathsf{C}_\bullet^{\mathcal{M}}).$$

The maps defined below induce a chain-homotopy between $\mathsf{C}_\bullet$ and $\mathsf{C}_\bullet^{\mathcal{M}}$.

$$f : \begin{cases} \mathsf{C}_\bullet & \to & \mathsf{C}_\bullet^{\mathcal{M}} \\ c \in X_i & \mapsto & f(c) := \sum\limits_{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}} \Gamma(c,c')c' \end{cases}$$

$$g : \begin{cases} \mathsf{C}_\bullet^{\mathcal{M}} & \to & \mathsf{C}_\bullet \\ c \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}} & \mapsto & g_i(c) := \sum\limits_{c' \in X_i} \Gamma(c,c')c' \end{cases}$$

The proof of Theorem 2.2 is given in the Appendix B. Note that if $\mathsf{C}_\bullet$ is the cellular chain complex of a regular CW-complex and X is the set of cells of a regular CW-complex, then Algebraic Discrete Morse theory is the part Forman's [5] Discrete Morse theory, which describes the impact of a discrete Morse matching on the cellular chain complex of the CW-complex.

3. Resolution of the residue class field in the commutative case

Let $A = S/\mathfrak{a}$ be the quotient algebra of the commutative polynomial ring $S = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ in n indeterminates by the ideal $\mathfrak{a} \trianglelefteq S$.

The aim of this section is to deduce via Algebraic Discrete Morse theory a new free resolution of the residue class field $k \cong A/\langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle$ as an A-module from the normalized Bar resolution. We write $\mathsf{NB}_\bullet^A = (B_i, \partial_i)_{i\geq}$ for the normalized Bar resolution of k over A (see Appendix A.1 or [13]).

¿From now on let $\mathfrak{a} = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_s \rangle \trianglelefteq S$ be an ideal, such that the set $\{f_1, \ldots, f_s\}$ is a reduced Gröbner basis with respect to a fixed degree-monomial order '$\prec$' (for example degree-lex or degree-revlex). We assume that $x_1 \succ x_2 \succ \ldots \succ x_n$ and we write $\mathcal{G}$ for the corresponding set of standard monomials of degree ≥ 1.

It is well known, that $\mathcal{G} \cup \{1\}$ is a basis of A as k-vectorspace. Thus for any monomial w in S there is a unique representation

$$w = a_1 + \sum_{v \in \mathcal{G}} a_v v, \;\; a_1, a_\nu \in k, \tag{3.1}$$

as a linear combination of standard monomials in A.

Since we assume that our monomial order is a refinement of the degree order on monomials it follows that $a_v = 0$ for $|v| > |w|$. Here we denote with $|v|$ the total degree of the monomial v. In this situation we say that v is reducible to $-\sum_{v\in\mathcal{G}} a_v v$. Note that since we use the normalized Bar resolution the summand a_1 can be omitted.

Using the described reduction process we write the normalized Bar resolution $\mathsf{NB}_\bullet^A = (B_i, \partial_i)$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} B_0 &:= A, \\ B_i &:= \bigoplus_{w_1,\ldots,w_i \in \mathcal{G}} A\,[w_1|\ldots|w_i], \;\; i \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

with differential

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_i([w_1|\ldots|w_i]) &= w_1\,[w_2|\ldots|w_i] \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (-1)^j \sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{G}} a_{j\nu}\,[w_1|\ldots|w_{j-1}|\nu|w_{j+2}\ldots|w_i], \end{aligned}$$

for $w_j w_{j+1} = a_{j,1} + \sum_{\nu\in\mathcal{G}} a_{j,\nu}\,\nu$, with $a_{j,\nu} \in k$,$\nu \in \mathcal{G}$.

The following convention will be convenient. For a monomial $w \in S$ we set $m(w) := \min\{i \mid x_i \text{ divides } w\}$. Finally we think of $[w_1|\ldots|w_i]$

as a vector, and we speak of w_j as the entry in the j-th coordinate position.

Now we describe the acyclic matching on the normalized Bar resolution which will be crucial for the proof of Theorem 3.6. Since all coefficients in the normalized Bar resolutions are ±1 condition (Invertibility) of Definition 2.1 is automatically fulfilled. Thus we only have to take care of the conditions (Matching) and (Acyclicity):

We inductively define acyclic matchings $\mathcal{M}_j$, $j \geq 1$, that are constructed with respect to the j-th coordinate position. We start with the leftmost coordinate position $j = 1$. We set

$$\mathcal{M}_1 := \left\{ \begin{array}{c} [x_{m(w_1)}|w_1'|w_2|\ldots|w_l] \\ \downarrow \\ [w_1|w_2|\ldots|w_l] \end{array} \in G(\mathsf{NB}_\bullet^A) \;\middle|\; w_1 = x_{m(w_1)}w_1' \right\}.$$

The set of critical cells $B_l^{\mathcal{M}_1}$ in homological degree $l \geq 1$ is given by:

1. $B_1^{\mathcal{M}_1} := \left\{ [x_i] \;\middle|\; 1 \leq i \leq n \right\}$, $l = 1$
2. $B_l^{\mathcal{M}_1}$ is the set of all $[x_i|w_2|w_3|\ldots|w_l]$, $w_2, \ldots, w_l \in \mathcal{G}$, that satisfy either
   - → $i \leq m(w_2)$ and x_iw_2 is reducible or
   - → $i > m(w_2)$.

Assume now $j \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{M}_{j-1}$ is defined. Let $\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{M}_{j-1}}$ be the set of critical cells left after applaying $\mathcal{M}_1 \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{M}_{j-1}$.

Let $\mathcal{E}_j$ denote the set of edges in $G(\mathsf{NB}_\bullet^A)$ that connect critical cells in $B^{\mathcal{M}_{j-1}}$.

The following condition on an edge in $\mathcal{E}_j$ will define the matching $\mathcal{M}_j$.

Definition 3.1 (Matching-Condition)**.** Let

$$\begin{array}{c} [x_{i_1}|w_2|\ldots|w_{j-1}|u_1|u_2|w_{j+1}|\ldots|w_l] \\ \downarrow \\ [x_{i_1}|w_2|\ldots|w_{j-1}|w_j|w_{j+1}|\ldots|w_l] \end{array}$$

be an edge in $\mathcal{E}_j$. In particular $w_j = u_1u_2$. We say that the edge satisfies the matching condition if u_1 is the maximal monomial with respect to '$\prec$' such that

(i) u_1 divides w_j.
(ii) $[x_{i_1}|w_2|\ldots|w_{j-1}|u_1|u_2|w_{j+1}|\ldots|w_l] \in B^{\mathcal{M}_{j-1}}$.
(iii) $[x_{i_1}|w_2|\ldots|w_{j-1}|v_1|v_2|w_{j+1}|\ldots|w_l] \notin B^{\mathcal{M}_{j-1}}$ for for each $v_1 \mid u_1$, $v_1 \neq u_1$ and $v_1v_2 = w_j$.

$$\mathcal{M}_j := \left\{ \begin{array}{c} [x_{i_1}|w_2|\ldots|w_{j-1}|u_1|u_2|w_{j+1}|\ldots|w_l] \\ \downarrow \\ [x_{i_1}|w_2|\ldots|w_{j-1}|w_j|w_{j+1}|\ldots|w_l] \end{array} \in \mathcal{E}_j \text{ satisfying 3.1} \right\}.$$

We write MinGen($\mathrm{in}_\prec(\mathfrak{a})$) for the minimal, monomial generating system of the initial ideal of $\mathfrak{a}$ with respect to the chosen monomial order $\prec$. The set of critical cells $B_l^{\mathcal{M}_j}$ in homological degree $l \geq 1$ is given by

(1) $B_1^{\mathcal{M}_j} := \left\{ [x_i] \,\middle|\, 1 \leq i \leq n \right\}$

(2) $B_2^{\mathcal{M}_j}$ consists of elements $[x_i|w_2]$ such that either $w_2 = x_{i'}$ for some i' and $i > i'$ or $x_i w_2 \in \mathrm{MinGen}(\mathrm{in}_\prec(\mathfrak{a}))$.

(3) $B_l^{\mathcal{M}_j}$ consists of elements $[x_i|w_2|\ldots|w_j|\ldots|w_l] \in B_l^{\mathcal{M}_{j-1}}$, such that for each divisor $u \mid w_j$ we have $[x_i|w_2|\ldots|w_{j-1}|u|\ldots|w_l] \notin B_l^{\mathcal{M}_{j-1}}$ and one of the following conditions is satisfied:
   → $w_j w_{j+1}$ is reducible or
   → $w_j w_{j+1} = uv \in \mathcal{G}$ and
      - $[x_i|w_2|\ldots|w_{j-1}|u|v|w_{j+2}|\ldots|w_l] \in B_l^{\mathcal{M}_{j-1}}$,
      - $u \succ w_j$,
      - $[x_i|w_2|\ldots|w_{j-1}|u'|v'|w_{j+2}|\ldots|w_l] \notin B_l^{\mathcal{M}_{j-1}}$ for each divisor $u' \mid u$, $u' \neq u$ and $u'v' = w_j w_{j+1}$.

We finally set $\mathcal{M} := \bigcup_{j \geq 1} \mathcal{M}_j$ and we write $\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{M}}$ for the set of critical cells with respect to $\mathcal{M}$.

Lemma 3.2. *$\mathcal{M}$ is an acyclic matching.*

Proof. We have already seen that since all coefficients are ± 1 the condition (Invertibility) of Definition 2.1 is automatic. Property (Matching) is satisfied by definition of $\mathcal{M}$. Now consider an edge in the matching. Then there exists a coordinate, where the degree of the monomial decreases by passing to the higher homological degree cell. Now since we have chosen a degree-monomial order along any edge in the graph and for any coordinate the degree of the monomial in this positions decreases weakly. Since any cycle must contain a matched edge this shows that there cannot be any directed cycles and (Acyclicity) is satisfied as well. □

3.1. An Anick resolution for the commutative polynomial ring.

In this subsection we look closer into the Morse complex corresponding to the acyclic matching $\mathcal{M}$ from Lemma 3.2. For this we choose the degree-lex order as our fixed monomial order. We write MinGen($\mathrm{in}_\prec(\mathfrak{a})$) for the minimal, monomial generating system of the initial ideal of $\mathfrak{a}$ with respect to degree-lex.

In order to describe the critical cells for the chosen term order, we first define the concept of a minimal fully attached tuple. Note, that the notation "fully attached" was introduced by Sturmfels (see Example 4.10 and [12]).

Definition 3.3. A pair (w_1, w_2) is called minimal fully attached, if $w_1 = x_{m(w_1 w_2)}$ and $w_1 w_2 \in \mathrm{MinGen}(\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(\mathfrak{a}))$.

Assume $l > 2$. An l-tuple $(w_1, \dots, w_{l-1}, w_l)$ is called minimal fully attached, if $(w_1, \dots, w_{l-1})$ is minimal fully attached, $m(w_1) \le m(w_j)$, for $j = 3, \dots, l$ and one of the following conditions is satisfied:

(1) $w_{l-1} w_l$ is reducible or
(2) $w_{l-1} w_l = uv \in \mathcal{G}$, with $u \succ w_{l-1}$ and $(w_1, \dots, w_{l-2}, u)$ is a minimal fully attached $(l-1)$-tuple,

and w_l is the minimal monomial such that no divisor $w_l' \mid w_l$, $w_l' \neq w_l$ satisfies one of the two conditions above.

It is easy to see, that the basis of the free modules in the Morse complex $\mathsf{NB}_\bullet^{\mathcal{M}}$ is given as the set $\mathcal{B}$ of words over the alphabet

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma \;=\; & \Big\{ [x_{i_1} | x_{i_2} | \dots | x_{i_r}] \;\Big|\; 1 \le i_r < i_{r-1} < \dots < i_1 \le n \Big\} \cup \\ & \Big\{ [x_{w_2} | w_2 | \dots | w_l] \mid [x_{w_2} | w_2 | \dots | w_l] \text{ minimal fully attached} \Big\}.\end{aligned}$$

that contain none of the words:

$$[x_{i_1} | \dots | x_{i_r}][x_{w_2} | w_2 | \dots | w_l], \quad x_{w_2} \preceq x_{i_r}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$[x_{i_1} | \dots | x_{i_r}][x_{j_1} | \dots | x_{j_s}], \quad x_{j_1} \preceq x_{i_r}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$[x_{w_2} | w_2 | \dots | w_l][x_{i_1} | \dots | x_{i_r}], \quad x_{i_1} \prec x_{w_2}, \tag{3.4}$$

$$[x_{w_2} | w_2 | \dots | w_l][x_{v_2} | v_2 | \dots | v_l], \quad x_{v_2} \prec x_{w_2}. \tag{3.5}$$

In order to be able to identify elements of $\mathcal{B}$ as basis elements of the Bar resolution we read in a word from $\mathcal{B}$ the sequence of letters '][' as '|'. If this convention is applied then any element of $\mathcal{B}$ can be read as some $[w_1 | \dots | w_j]$ and corresponds to a basis element in homological degree j. We collect the elements from $\mathcal{B}$ which are of homological degree j in $\mathcal{B}_j$ and call an element of $\mathcal{B}$ a fully attached tuple. We claim that there is a bijection between $\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{M}}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ preserving the homological degree. To see this consider a fully attached tuple $[x_{i_1} | w_2 | \dots | w_i]$. Then the definition of a fully attached tuple implies, that either $w_2 = x_s$ with $x_s \succ x_{i_1}$ (resp. $i_1 > s$) or $x_{i_1} w_2 \in \mathrm{MinGen}(\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(\mathfrak{a}))$. In the first case we cut the tuple to $[x_{i_1}][x_s|w_3|\dots|w_i]$. If we continue this process we obtain

$$[x_{i_1}|x_{i_2}|\dots|x_{i_r}][x_{v_2}|v_2|\dots|v_s],$$

with $i_1 > \dots > i_r$, $x_{i_r} \prec x_{v_2}$ and $x_{v_2}v_2 \in \operatorname{MinGen}(\operatorname{in}_\prec(\mathfrak{a}))$. This explains the rules (3.2) and (3.3). Now consider $[x_{v_2}|v_2|\dots|v_s]$. Then the definition of a fully attached tuple implies that either $v_3 = x_j$ with $x_j \succeq x_{v_2}$ or $x_{m(v_3)} \prec x_{v_2}$. In the first case we cut the tuple to

$$[x_{v_2}|v_2][x_j|v_4|\dots|v_i],$$

otherwise we consider the monomial v_4. Then v_4 satisfy the same conditions as v_3, so we cut if necessary to

$$[x_{v_2}|v_2|v_3][x_j|v_5|\dots|v_i].$$

By construction $[x_{v_2}|v_2|v_3]$ is a minimal fully attached tuple and the conditions for v_3 and x_j explain the rules (3.4) and (3.5). If we continue this process we obtain exactly the words in $\mathcal{B}$.

Remark 3.4. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the language over the alphabet

$$\left\{[x_{w_2}|w_2|\dots|w_l] \;\middle|\; [x_{w_2}|w_2|\dots|w_l] \text{ minimal fully attached } \right\},$$

that contain none of the words (3.5). To a letter $[x_{i_1}|x_{i_2}|\dots|x_{i_r}] \in \Sigma$ with $1 \leq i_r < i_{r-1} < \cdots < i_1 \leq n$ we associate the symbol $e_{\{i_r<i_{r-1}<\dots<i_1\}}$.
For $w \in \mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{M}}$, such that $w = e_{I_1}\cdots e_{I_s}$, rule (3.3) shows that this word is, considered as a basis element of $\mathsf{NB}^{\mathcal{M}}$, equivalent to the symbol $e_{I_1\cup\dots\cup I_s}$.
To an arbitrary word $w \in \mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{M}}$ we first associate the word

$$w_1\ e_{I_1}\ w_2\ e_{I_2}\cdots w_s\ e_{I_s}.$$

The rules (3.2) and (3.4) imply, that the sets I_i are pairwise disjoint and in a decreasing order. Therefore, as a basis element of $\mathsf{NB}^{\mathcal{M}}$ the word w is equivalent to

$$e_{I_1\cup\dots\cup I_s}\ w_1w_2\cdots w_s.$$

It follows, that we have a degree-preserving bijection between $\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{M}}$ and the set

$$\left\{e_I\mathbf{w} \;\middle|\; I \subset \{1,\dots n\} \text{ and } \mathbf{w} \in \mathcal{L}\right\}.$$

We will use this fact later in order to calculate the multigraded Poincaré-Betti series of k over A (see Corollary 3.8).

In order to describe the differential, we introduce three reduction rules for fully attached tuples. These reduction rules will be based on the unique Gröbner representation (3.1) which will play role of the basic set of rules:

$$\mathcal{R} := \left\{ v_1v_2 \xrightarrow{a_w} w \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{G} \\ v_1v_2 \notin \mathcal{G} \end{array} \text{ and } \begin{array}{l} v_1 \cdot v_2 = a_0 + \sum_{w\in\mathcal{G}} a_w w, \\ a_w \in k \end{array} \right\}.$$

Note, that $w \xrightarrow{0} 0 \in \mathcal{R}$ is allowed (it happens, if one of the generators f_i is a monomial).

Definition 3.5. Let $e_1 := [w_1|\dots|w_{i-1}|w_i|w_{i+1}|w_{i+2}|\dots|w_l]$ be an l-tuple of standard monomials.

Type I: Assume $[w_1|\dots|w_i]$ is fully attached. We say e_1 can reduced to $e_2 := [w_1|\dots|w_{i-1}|v_i|v_{i+1}|w_{i+2}|\dots|w_l]$, if
  (i) $[w_1|\dots|w_{i-1}|, v_i]$ is fully attached,
  (ii) $v_iv_{i+1} \in \mathcal{G}$,
  (iii) $w_iw_{i+1} \xrightarrow{a} v_iv_{i+1} \in \mathcal{R}$, with $a \neq 0$.
  In this case we write $e_1 \xrightarrow{-a}_1 e_2$.

Type II: We say that e_1 can reduced to $e_2 := [w_1|\dots|w_{i-1}|v|w_{i+2}|\dots|w_l]$, if
  (i) $w_iw_{i+1} \xrightarrow{a} v \in \mathcal{R}$, with $a \neq 0$ and
  (ii) e_2 is a fully attached $(l-1)$-tuple.
  In this case we write $e_1 \xrightarrow{(-1)^i a}_2 e_2$.

Type III: We say that e_1 can be reduced to e_2 with coefficient $c := w_1$ (we write $e_1 \xrightarrow{w_1}_3 e_2$), if $|w_2| \geq 2$ and $e_2 := [x_{m(w_2)}|w_2/x_{m(w_2)}|w_3|\dots|w_l]$.

Now let $e = [w_1|\dots|w_l]$ and $f = [v_1|\dots|v_{l-1}]$ be fully attached l- and $(l-1)$-tuples. We say that e can be reduced to f with coefficient c ($e \xrightarrow{c} f$) if there exists a sequence $e = e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{r-1}$ and either

(1) an e_r, with $e_r = [u|v_1|\dots|v_{l-1}] = [u|f]$, such that e_0 can be reduced to e_r with reductions of Type I and III, i.e.

$$e_0 \xrightarrow{-a_1} e_1 \xrightarrow{-a_2} e_2 \xrightarrow{-a_3} \dots \xrightarrow{-a_r} e_r;$$

in this case we set $c := \left((-1)^r \prod_{i=1}^r a_i\right) u$, or

(2) an e_r, such that e_0 can be reduced to e_r with reductions of Type I and III and e_r can be reduced to f with reduction of Type II, i.e.

$$e_0 \xrightarrow{-a_1} e_1 \xrightarrow{-a_2} e_2 \xrightarrow{-a_3} \dots \xrightarrow{-a_r} e_r \xrightarrow{(-1)^j b} f;$$

in this case, we set $c := (-1)^{r+j} \cdot b \cdot \prod_{i=1}^r a_i$.

There may be several possible reduction sequences leading from e to f and the reduction coefficient may depend chosen sequence. Therefore, we define *the* reduction coefficient $[e : f]$ to be the sum over all possible sequences. If there exists no sequence, we set $[e : f] := 0$.

The complex $\mathsf{F}_\bullet$ is then given by

$$F_j := \bigoplus_{e \in \mathcal{B}_j} A\, e,$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \partial : F_i & \to & F_{i-1} \\ e & \mapsto & \displaystyle\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}} [e : f]\, f. \end{array}$$

Now we have:

Theorem 3.6. $\mathsf{F}_\bullet = (F_\bullet, \partial)$ *is an A-free resolution of the residue class field k, which is minimal if and only if no reduction of Type II is possible.*

Proof. The fully attached tuples are exactly the critical cells. The reduction rules describe the Morse differential: As seen before, we have

$$\partial^{\mathcal{M}}([w_1, \dots, w_l]) := w_1[w_2, \dots, w_l] + \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} (-1)^i [w_1, \dots, w_i w_{i+1}, \dots, w_l]$$

If $[w_2, \dots, w_l] \notin \mathcal{B}$, we have $[w_2, \dots, w_l] = \partial([x_{i_2}, w_2', w_3 \dots, w_l])$, which is described by the reduction of Type III.

For $[w_1, \dots, w_i w_{i+1}, \dots, w_l]$ we have to distinguish three cases:

(Case 1) $[w_1, \dots, v_{ij}, \dots, w_l]$ is critical. Then we have $w_{i-1}v_{ij}$, $v_{ij}w_{i+2}$ reducible and $w_{i-1}u_1\ v_{ij}u_2 \in \mathcal{G}$ for all divisors u_1 of v_{ij} and u_2 of w_{i+2}. This situation is described by the reduction of Type II.

(Case 2) $[w_1, \dots, v_{ij}, \dots, w_l]$ is matched by a higher degree cell. Then we have: $w_{i-1}u_1$ reducible for $v_{ij} = u_1 u_2$ and for all divisors u' of u_1 the monomial $w_{i-1}u'$ lies in $\mathcal{G}$. Then we have

$$[w_1, \dots, v_{ij}, \dots, w_l] = (-1)^{i+1}[w_1, \dots, w_{i-1}, u_1, u_2, w_{i+2}, \dots, w_l],$$

which is a reduction of Type I.

(Case 3) $[w_1, \dots, v_{ij}, \dots, w_l]$ is matched by an lower degree cell. In this case we have $[w_1, \dots, v_{ij}, \dots, w_l] = 0$.

The coefficients of the reductions are exactly the coefficients of the Morse differential. Hence the Morse-differential induces a sequence of reductions of Type I and III with either a reduction of Type II, or the map $e_r = [v_1|\dots|v_l] \xrightarrow{v_1} [v_2 \dots, v_l]$ at the end, which gives our definition of the reduction coefficient. $\square$

Remark 3.7. In Section 4, we will see, that in the non-commutative case our matching on the normalized Bar resolution gives the Anick resolution (for the definition, see [1]). Therefore one can understand the resolution $F_\bullet$ as a generalization of the Anick resolution to the commutative polynomial ring.

If A is endowed with the natural multigrading $\deg(x_i) = e_i \in \mathbb{N}^n$ the multigraded Poincaré-Betti series of k over A is defined to be

$$P_k^A(\underline{x}, t) := \sum_{\substack{i \geq 0 \\ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n}} \dim_k(\operatorname{Tor}_i^A(k,k)_\alpha)\, \underline{x}^\alpha\, t^i.$$

Remark 3.4 implies:

Corollary 3.8. *The Poincaré-Betti series of A satisfies*

$$P_k^A(\underline{x}, t)(x,t) \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + x_i\, t)\ F(x,t),$$

where $F(x,t) := \sum_{w \in \mathcal{L}} w\, t^{|w|}$ counts the words $w \in \mathcal{L}$. Here w is treated as the monomial in $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ and $|w|$ denotes the length of w.
The inequality is an inequality between the coefficients of the power series expansion. □

3.2. **Two special cases.** First we consider a subclass of the class of Koszul algebras. It is well known, that $A = S/\mathfrak{a}$ is Koszul if $\mathfrak{a}$ has a quadratic Gröbner basis. It is easy to see, that in this case the minimal fully attached tuples have the following form: $[x_{i_1}|x_{i_2}|\ldots|x_{i_r}]$. Therefore a reduction of Type II is not possible and we get:

Corollary 3.9. *If $A = S/\mathfrak{a}$ and $\mathfrak{a}$ admits a quadratic Gröbner basis, then the resolution $F_\bullet$ is minimal.* □

To get an explicit form of the multigraded Poincaré-Betti series in this case one only has to calculate the word-counting function $F(x,t)$ of the language $\mathcal{L}$. In this case the multigraded Poincaré-Betti series coincides with the multigraded Poincaré-Betti series of $S/\operatorname{in}_\prec(\mathfrak{a})$. Since the Poincaré-Betti series of monomial rings are studied by us in a larger context in [10] we do not give the explicit form here.

The second case, we would like to discuss, is the following:
Let $\mathfrak{a} = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_s \rangle \trianglelefteq S$ be an ideal, such that $f_1, \ldots, f_s$ is a reduced Gröbner basis with respect to the degree-lex order and such that the initial ideal $\operatorname{in}_\prec(\mathfrak{a})$ is a complete intersection. Assume $f_j = m_j + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} f_{j\alpha} x^\alpha$ with leading monomial m_j. Since $\operatorname{in}_\prec(\mathfrak{a})$ is a complete intersection, there exist exactly s minimal fully attached tuples, namely

$t_i := \left[x_m(m_i) \middle| \frac{m_i}{x_m(m_i)} \right]$, for $i = 1, \ldots, s$ and $m_i \in \mathrm{MinGen}(\mathrm{in}_\prec(\mathfrak{a}))$. The rule (3.5) implies $t_i t_j \in \mathcal{B}$, iff $m(m_i) \geq m(m_j)$. It follows from Remark 3.4, that the set of fully attached i-tuples is in bijection with the set

$$\mathcal{B}_i := \left\{ e_{i_r} \ldots e_{i_1} t_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \ldots t_{j_q}^{(l_q)} \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{l} 1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq j_1 < \ldots < j_q \leq s \\ l_1, \ldots, l_q \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } i = r + 2\sum_{t=1}^{q} l_t \end{array} \right\}.$$

For $f_j = m_j + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} f_{j\alpha} x^\alpha$ we define

$$T_p(f_j) := \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n \\ p = \max(\mathrm{supp}(\alpha))}} f_{j\alpha} \, \frac{x^\alpha}{x_p}.$$

We have the following theorem:

Theorem 3.10. *Let* $\mathfrak{a} = \langle f_1, \ldots, f_s \rangle \trianglelefteq S$ *be an ideal, such that* $f_1, \ldots, f_s$ *is a reduced Gröbner basis with respect to the degree-lex order and such that the initial ideal* $\mathrm{in}_\prec(\mathfrak{a})$ *is a complete intersection and* $A := S/\mathfrak{a}$ *be the quotient algebra.*
Then the following complex is a minimal A*-free resolution of the residue class field* k *and carries the structure of a differential graded algebra:*

$$F_i := \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq j_1 < \ldots < j_q \leq s \\ l_1, \ldots, l_q \in \mathbb{N} \\ i = r + 2\sum_{j=1}^{q} l_j}} A \, e_{i_r} \ldots e_{i_1} t_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \ldots t_{j_q}^{(l_q)}$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl} e_{i_r} \ldots e_{i_1} & \overset{\partial}{\mapsto} & \displaystyle\sum_{m=1}^{r} (-1)^{\#\{i_j > i_m\}} x_{i_m} \, e_{i_r} \ldots \widehat{e_{i_m}} \ldots e_{i_1} \\ t_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \ldots t_{j_q}^{(l_q)} & \overset{\partial}{\mapsto} & \displaystyle\sum_{m=1}^{s} \sum_{p=1}^{n} T_p(f_{j_m}) \, e_p t_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \ldots, t_{j_m}^{(l_{j_m}-1)}, \ldots, t_{j_q}^{(l_q)}, \end{array}$$

where $t_{i_j}^{(0)} := 1$, $e_i e_j = -e_j e_i$ *and* $e_i e_i = 0$. *The differential is given by*

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \partial(e_{i_r} \ldots e_{i_1} t_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \ldots t_{j_s}^{(l_s)}) & = & \partial(e_{i_r} \ldots e_{i_1}) t_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \ldots t_{j_s}^{(l_s)} \\ & & (-1)^r \, e_{i_r} \ldots e_{i_1} \partial(t_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \ldots t_{j_s}^{(l_s)}). \end{array}$$

In particular we have

$$P_k^A(\underline{x},t)(x,t) = \frac{\displaystyle\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1+x_i\,t)}{\displaystyle\prod_{i=1}^{k}(1-m_i\,t^2)}.$$

Proof. We only have to calculate the differential: Let $[w_1,\ldots,w_l]$ be a fully attached tuple, such that w_j is either a variable or a minimal fully attached tuple.
First assume that w_j is a variable, i.e. $w_j = x_{r_j}$. We prove that w_iw_j can be permuted to w_jw_i for all $i \neq j$. If w_i is a variable, say $w_i = x_{j_i}$, we have by (3.5) $j_i > r_j$ it follows $|x_{j_i}|x_{j_r}| \to |x_{j_i}x_{r_j}| \to |x_{r_j}|x_{j_i}|$. If w_i is a minimal fully attached tuple, i.e. $w_i = \left| x_{m(m_i)} \left| \frac{m_i}{x_{m(m_i)}} \right. \right|$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left| x_{m(m_i)} \left| \frac{m_i}{x_{m(m_i)}} \right| x_{r_j} \right| \quad &\to \quad \left| x_{m(m_i)} \left| x_{r_j} \frac{m_i}{x_{m(m_i)}} \right. \right| \to \left| x_{m(m_i)} \left| x_{r_j} \left| \frac{m_i}{x_{m(m_i)}} \right. \right. \right| \\ &\to \quad \left| x_{r_j}x_{m(m_i)} \left| \frac{m_i}{x_{m(m_i)}} \right. \right| \to \left| x_{r_j} \left| x_{m(m_i)} \left| \frac{m_i}{x_{m(m_i)}} \right. \right. \right|\end{aligned}$$

In the first case we have a reduction with coefficient -1 and in the second case with coefficient $+1$. Therefore it is enough to consider the number of $w_i's$, $i<j$, which are variables. It follows, that w_j can be permuted to the left with coefficient $(-1)^{\#\{w_i \ | \ w_i \text{ variable and } w_i <_{\text{lex}} x_{r_j}\}}$.
Now let w_j be a minimal fully attached tuple, i.e. $w_j = \left[x_{m(m_j)} \left| \frac{m_j}{x_{m(m_j)}} \right. \right]$.
Then we have

$$\left[x_{m(m_j)} \left| \frac{m_j}{x_{m(m_j)}} \right. \right] \to -\sum_{\alpha} f_{j\alpha}[x^\alpha] \to \sum_{\alpha} f_{j\alpha} \left[x_\alpha \left| \frac{x^\alpha}{x_\alpha} \right. \right],$$

where $x_\alpha := x_{m(x^\alpha)}$. Since $\left[\frac{x^\alpha}{x_\alpha} \right]$ is matched with $\left[x_\beta \left| \frac{x^\alpha}{x_\beta x_\alpha} \right. \right]$ (where $x_\beta = x_{m(x^\beta)}$ with $x^\beta := \frac{x^\alpha}{x_\alpha}$) the exponent α decreases successively up to the element $[x_p]$, with $p = \max(\operatorname{supp}(\alpha))$. Therefore we get

$$\left[x_{m(m_j)} \left| \frac{m_j}{x_{m(m_j)}} \right. \right] \to \sum_{p=1}^{n} T_p(f_j)e_p. \tag{3.6}$$

We now consider the tuple $[w_1,\ldots,w_l]$. With the same argument as before one can check, that the minimal fully attached tuple w_j can be permuted with coefficient $+1$ to the right. After a chain of reductions we reach the tuple $[w_j, w_1, \ldots, w_{j-1}, w_{j+1}, \ldots, w_l]$. Applying Equation (3.6) we get

$$[w_1, \ldots, w_l] \to \sum_{p=1}^{n} T_p(f_j)[x_p, w_1, \ldots, \widehat{w_j}, \ldots, w_l].$$

In order to reach a fully attached tuple we have to permute the variable x_p to the correct position. This permutation yields a coefficient $(-1)^{\#\{w_i \mid w_i \text{ variable and } w_i <_{\text{lex}} x_p\}}$.
The bijection between the elements $e_{i_r} \ldots e_{i_1} t_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \ldots t_{j_q}^{(l_q)}$ and the fully attached tuples finally implies the coefficient

$$\left((-1)^{\#\{w_i \mid w_i \text{ variable and } w_i <_{\text{lex}} x_p\}}\right)^2 (-1)^r = (-1)^r.$$

Therefore our differential has the desired form

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial(e_{i_r} \ldots e_{i_1} t_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \ldots t_{j_q}^{(l_q)}) \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{r} (-1)^{\#\{i_j > i_m\}} x_{i_m}\, e_{i_r} \ldots \widehat{e_{i_m}} \ldots e_{i_1} t_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \ldots t_{j_q}^{(l_q)} \\
&\quad + \sum_{m=1}^{q} \sum_{\substack{p=1 \\ p \neq i_1, \ldots, i_r}}^{n} (-1)^r\, T_p(f_{j_m})\, e_{i_r} \cdots e_{i_1} e_p t_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \ldots, t_{j_m}^{(l_{j_m}-1)}, \ldots, t_{j_q}^{(l_q)}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see, that this are all possible reductions. □

If $\text{in}_\prec(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a}$ then the preceding result about the Poincaré-Betti series cab be found in [7].

4. Resolution of the residue class field in the non-commutative case

In this section we study the same situation as in Section 3 over the polynomial ring in n non-commuting indeterminates. In this case the acyclic matching on the normalized Bar resolution is slightly different to the acyclic matching in Section 3 and the resulting Morse complex will be isomorphic to the Anick resolution. These results were independently obtained by Sköldberg [11]. In addition to Sköldberg's results we prove minimality of this resolution in special cases, which give information about the Poincaré-Betti series, and we give an explicit description of the complex if the two-sided ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ admits a (finite) quadratic Gröbner basis, which proves a conjecture by Sturmfels [12].

Let $A = k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n\rangle/\mathfrak{a}$ be the quotient algebra of the polynomial ring in n non-commuting indeterminates by a two-sided ideal

$$\mathfrak{a} \trianglelefteq k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n\rangle.$$

As before, we assume, that $\mathfrak{a} = \langle f_1, \dots f_s\rangle$, such that $\{f_1, \dots, f_s\}$ is a finite reduced Gröbner basis with respect to a fixed degree-monomial order $\prec$. For an introduction to the theory of Gröbner basis in the non-commutative case see [9].

Again we have for the product of any two standard monomials a unique (Gröbner-) representation of the form:

$$w \cdot v := \sum_i a_i\, w_i \text{ with } a_i \in k,\ w_i \in \mathcal{G} \text{ and } |w \cdot v| \geq |w_i| \text{ for all } i,$$

where $\mathcal{G}$ is the corresponding set of standard monomials of degree ≥ 1 and $|m|$ is the total degree of the monomial m.

The acyclic matching on the normalized Bar resolution is defined as follows: As in the commutative case, we define $\mathcal{M}_j$ by induction on the coordinate $1 \leq j \leq n$: For $j = 1$ we set

$$\mathcal{M}_1 := \left\{ \begin{array}{c} [x_i|w_1'|w_2|\dots|w_l] \\ \downarrow \\ [w_1|\dots|w_l] \end{array} \in G(\mathsf{NB}_\bullet^A) \mid w_1 = x_i w_1' \right\}.$$

The critical cells with respect to $\mathcal{M}_1$ are given by

(1) $B_1^{\mathcal{M}_1} := \left\{ [x_i] \;\middle|\; 1 \leq i \leq n \right\}$, $l = 1$

(2) $B_l^{\mathcal{M}_1}$ is the set of all $[x_i|w_2|w_3|\dots|w_l]$, $w_2, w_3, \dots, w_l \in \mathcal{G}$, that satisfy

   → $x_i w_2$ is reducible

Assume now $j \geq 2$ and $\mathcal{M}_{j-1}$ is defined. Let $\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{M}_{j-1}}$ be the set of critical cells left after applaying $\mathcal{M}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathcal{M}_{j-1}$.

Let $\mathcal{E}_j$ denote the set of edges in $G(\mathsf{NB}_\bullet^A)$ that connect critical cells in $B^{\mathcal{M}_{j-1}}$.

The following condition on an edge in $\mathcal{E}_j$ will define the matching $\mathcal{M}_j$.

Definition 4.1 (Matching-Condition)**.** Let

$$\begin{array}{c} [x_{i_1}|w_2|\dots|w_{j-1}|u_1|u_2|w_{j+1}|\dots|w_l] \\ \downarrow \\ [x_{i_1}|w_2|\dots|w_{j-1}|w_j|w_{j+1}|\dots|w_l] \end{array}$$

be an edge in $\mathcal{E}_j$. In particular $w_j = u_1 u_2$. We say that the edge satisfies the matching condition if

(i) u_1 is a prefix of w_j.

(ii) $[x_{i_1}|w_2|\dots|w_{j-1}|u_1|u_2|w_{j+1}|\dots|w_l] \in B^{\mathcal{M}_{j-1}}$.
(iii) $[x_{i_1}|w_2|\dots|w_{j-1}|v_1|v_2|w_{j+1}|\dots|w_l] \notin B^{\mathcal{M}_{j-1}}$ for for each prefix v_1 of u_1 and $v_1v_2 = w_j$.

$$\mathcal{M}_j := \left\{ \begin{array}{c} [x_{i_1}|w_2|\dots|w_{j-1}|u_1|u_2|w_{j+1}|\dots|w_l] \\ \downarrow \\ [x_{i_1}|w_2|\dots|w_{j-1}|w_j|w_{j+1}|\dots|w_l] \end{array} \in \mathcal{E}_j \text{ satisfying 4.1} \right\}.$$

The set of critical cells $\mathcal{B}_l^{\mathcal{M}_j}$ in homological degree $l \geq 1$ is given by

(1) $B_1^{\mathcal{M}_j} := \left\{ [x_i] \;\middle|\; 1 \leq i \leq n \right\}$
(2) $B_2^{\mathcal{M}_j}$ consists of elements $[x_{i_1}|w_2]$, with $x_{i_1}w_2 \in \operatorname{MinGen}(\operatorname{in}_\prec(\mathfrak{a}))$.
(3) $B_l^{\mathcal{M}_j}$ consists of elements $[x_{i_1}|w_2|w_3|\dots|w_l] \in \mathcal{B}_l^{\mathcal{M}_{j-1}}$, such that for each prefix u of w_j we have $[x_{i_1}|w_2|\dots|w_{j-1}|u|\dots w_l] \notin \mathcal{B}_l^{\mathcal{M}_{j-1}}$ and w_jw_{j+1} is reducible.

We finally set $\mathcal{M} := \bigcup_{j\geq 1} \mathcal{M}_j$ and we write $\mathcal{B}^{\mathcal{M}}$ for the set of critical cells with respect to $\mathcal{M}$.

With the same proof as in Section 3 we get

Lemma 4.2. $\mathcal{M}$ *defines an acyclic matching.* □

4.1. **The Anick resolution.** As in the commutative case we give a second description of the Morse complex with respect to the acyclic matching from Lemma 4.2. In this case this description shows that it is isomorphic to the Anick resolution [1].

Definition 4.3. Let $m_{i_1}, \dots, m_{i_{l-1}} \in \operatorname{MinGen}(\operatorname{in}_\prec(\mathfrak{a}))$ be monomials, such that for $j = 1, \dots l-1$ we have $m_{i_j} = u_{i_j}v_{i_j}w_{i_j}$ with $u_{i_{j+1}} = w_{i_j}$ and $|u_{i_1}| = 1$. Then we call the l-tuple

$$[u_{i_1}, v_{i_1}w_{i_1}, v_{i_2}w_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_{l-1}}w_{i_{l-1}}]$$

fully attached, if for all $1 \leq i \leq l-2$ and each prefix u of $v_{i_{j+1}}w_{i_{j+1}}$ the monomial $v_{i_j}w_{i_j}u$ lies in $\mathcal{G}$. We write $\mathcal{B}_j := \{[w_1, \dots, w_j]\}$ for the set of fully attached j-tuples ($j \geq 2$) and $\mathcal{B}_1 := \{[x_1], \dots, [x_n]\}$.

We define the reduction types (Type I, Type II and Type III) and the reduction coefficient $[e : f]$ for two fully attached tuples e, f in a similar way as in the commutative case (see Definition 3.5). Now we are able to define the following complex:

$$F_j := \bigoplus\nolimits_{e\in\mathcal{B}_j} A\, e,$$

$$\begin{array}{rcl}\partial : F_i & \to & F_{i-1} \\ e & \mapsto & \displaystyle\sum_{f \in \mathcal{B}_{i-1}} [e:f]\, f.\end{array}$$

Note, that the basis elements of F_j are exactly the basis elements in the Anick resolution (see [1]), therefore the complex $F_\bullet$ is isomorphic to the Anick resolution. Again we have:

Theorem 4.4. *$(F_\bullet, \partial)$ is an A-free resolution of the residue class field k over A. If no reduction of Type II is possible, the resolution $(F_\bullet, \partial)$ is minimal.*

Proof. The fully attached tuples are exactly the critical cells. The rest is analogous to the commutative case. □

If one applies Theorem 4.4 to the ideal $\langle x_i x_j - x_j x_i, \mathfrak{a}\rangle$, one reaches the commutative case. But in general the Morse complex with respect to the acyclic matching from Lemma 4.2 is much larger (with respect to the rank) than the Morse complex of the acyclic matching, developed in Section 3 for commutative polynomial rings.

Since only by reductions of Type II coefficient $[e : f] \in k$ can enter the resolution, we have:

Proposition 4.5. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *$(F_\bullet, \partial)$ is not minimal.*
2. *There exist standard monomials $w_1, \ldots w_4$, and $m_{i_1}, m_{i_2}, m_{i_3} \in \mathrm{MinGen}(\mathrm{in}_\prec(\mathfrak{a}))$, such that $w_1w_2 = u_1m_{i_1}$,$w_2w_3 = u_2m_{i_2}$, $w_1w_4 = u_1'm_{i_3}$ with u_1, u_1' suffix of w_1, u_2 suffix of w_2 and $w_2w_3 \to w_4 \in \mathcal{R}$*

Proof. $(F_\bullet, \partial)$ is minimal iff no reduction of Type II is possible, which is equivalent to the second condition. □

Corollary 4.6. *In the following two cases the resolution $(F_\bullet, \partial)$ is a minimal A-free resolution of k and independent of the characteristic of k.*

1. *$\mathfrak{a}$ admits a monomial Gröbner basis.*
2. *The Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ consists of homogeneous polynomials, all of the same degree.*

Proof. If the Gröbner basis consists of monomials, the situation of Proposition 4.5 is not possible. In the other case there exists a constant l, such that for all $w \to v \in \mathcal{R}$ we have $|w| = |v| = l$. Assume there exist standard monomials $w_1, \ldots, w_4$, and monomials $m_{i_1}, m_{i_2}, m_{i_3} \in$

MinGen($\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(\mathfrak{a})$), such that $w_1w_2 = u_1m_{i_1}$,$w_2w_3 = u_2m_{i_2}$, $w_1w_4 = u_1'm_{i_3}$ with u_1, u_1' suffix of w_1, u_2 suffix of w_2 and $w_2w_3 \to w_4 \in \mathcal{R}$. Then we get $|w_i| < l$ for $i = 2, 3, 4$. On the other hand we have $w_2w_3 \to w_4 \in \mathcal{R}$ and therefore $|w_4| = l$. This is a contradiction. □

4.2. **The Poincaré-Betti series of k.** In this subsection we draw some corollaries on the Poincaré-Betti series of k.

Recall the definition of a fully attached l-tuple: There exist leading monomials $m_{i_1}, \dots, m_{i_{l-1}} \in$ MinGen($\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(\mathfrak{a})$), such that for all $j = 1, \dots l-1$ there exists a monomial $u_{i_j}, v_{i_j}, w_{i_j} \in \mathcal{G}$ with $m_{i_j} = u_{i_j}v_{i_j}w_{i_j}$ and $u_{i_{j+1}} = w_{i_j}$. It follows that the fully attached l-tuples are in one to one correspondence with $l-1$ chains of monomials $(m_{i_1}, \dots, m_{i_{l-1}})$ with the condition before. We write again $\mathcal{B}$ for the set of all those chains. Now consider the subset

$$E := \left\{ (m_{i_1}, \dots, m_{i_l}) \in \mathcal{B} \;\middle|\; m_{i_1}, \dots, m_{i_l} \text{ pairwise different} \right\} \subset \mathcal{B}.$$

Since we consider only finite Gröbner basis it is clear, that E is finite. We construct a DFA (deterministic finite automaton, see for example [8]) over the alphabet E, which accepts $\mathcal{B}$. For each letter $f \in E$ we define a state f. Each state f is a final state. Let S be the initial state, and Q be a error state. ¿From the state S we go to state f if we read the letter $f \in E$. Let $f_1 = (m_{i_1}, \dots, m_{i_l}), f_2 = (m'_{j_1}, \dots, m'_{j_{l'}}) \in E$ be two chains of monomials with corresponding fully attached tuples $(w_{i_1}, \dots, w_{i_{l+1}})$ and $(w'_{j_1}, \dots, w'_{j_{l'+1}})$. Then we have $(f_1, f_2) \in \mathcal{B}$, iff there exists a monomial $n \in$ MinGen($\mathrm{in}_{\prec}(\mathfrak{a})$) with $n = uw'_{j_1}$ and u suffix of $w_{i_{l+1}}$. In this case we change by reading f_2 from state f_1 to f_2. If such a monomial does not exist we change by reading f_2 from state f_1 to the error state Q. The language of this DFA is exactly the set $\mathcal{B}$. This proves that the basis of our resolution $F_\bullet$ is a regular language. Since the word-counting function of a regular language is always a rational function (see [8]) we get in particular the following theorem:

Theorem 4.7. *For the Poincaré-Betti series of k over the ring A we have*

$$P_k^A(\underline{x}, t)(x, t) \leq F(x, t),$$

where $F(x, t)$ is a rational function. Equality holds iff $F_\bullet$ is minimal. □

Corollary 4.8. *For the following two cases the Poincaré-Betti series of k over the ring A is a rational function:*

(1) $\mathfrak{a}$ *admits a Gröbner basis consisting of monomials.*

(2) *The Gröbner basis of* $\mathfrak{a}$ *consists of homogeneous polynomials, all of the same degree.*

Proof. The result is a direct consequence of the theorem 4.7 and Corollary 4.6. ☐

Corollary 4.9. *If* $\mathfrak{a}$ *has a quadratic Gröbner basis, then* $F_\bullet$ *is an* A*-free minimal linear resolution. Hence* A *is Koszul and its Hilbert- and Poincaré-Betti series are rational functions.* ☐

4.3. **Examples.** We finally want to give some examples of the Morse complex and we verify a conjecture by Sturmfels:

Example 4.10 (Conjecture of B. Sturmfels (see [12]))**.** Let Λ be a graded subsemigroup of $\mathbb{N}^d$ with n generators. We write its semigroup algebra over a field k as a quotient of the free associative algebra

$$k\langle y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_n\rangle / J_\Lambda \quad = \quad k[\Lambda].$$

Suppose that the two-sided ideal J_Λ possesses a quadratic Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$. The elements in the non-commutative Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ are quadratic reduction relations of the form $y_i y_j \to y_{i'} y_{j'}$. If w and w' are words in $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ then we write $w \xrightarrow{j} w'$ if there exists a reduction sequence of length j from w to w'. A word $w = y_{i_1} y_{i_2} \cdots y_{i_r}$ is called *fully attached* if every quadratic subword $y_{i_j} y_{i_{j+1}}$ can be reduced with respect to $\mathcal{G}$. Let $\mathbf{F}_r$ be the free $k[\Lambda]$-module with basis $\{\, E_w \,:\, w$ fully attached word of length $r\}$. Let $\mathbf{F} = \bigoplus_{r\geq 0} \mathbf{F}_r$ and define a differential ∂ on $\mathbf{F}$ as follows:

$$\partial : \mathbf{F}_r \to \mathbf{F}_{r-1}\,, \quad E_w \quad \mapsto \quad \sum (-1)^j \, x_i \, E_{w'}$$

where the sum is over all fully attached words w' of length $r-1$ such that $w \xrightarrow{j} x_i \, w'$ for some i, j. Note that this sum includes the trivial reduction $w \xrightarrow{0} w$. Then Theorem 4.4 together with Proposition 4.5 implies that $(\mathbf{F}, \partial)$ is a minimal free resolution of k over $k[\Lambda]$. ☐

Example 1 (The twisted cubic curve): The Gröbner basis consists of nine binomials:

$$\mathcal{G} \;=\; \left\{ \begin{array}{l} ac \to bb,\; ca \to bb,\; ad \to cb,\; da \to cb,\; bd \to cc, \\ db \to cc,\; ba \to ab,\; bc \to cb, dc \to cd \end{array} \right\}$$

The minimal free resolution $(\mathbf{F}, \partial)$ has the format

$$\cdots\cdots \xrightarrow{\partial} k[\Lambda]^{72} \xrightarrow{\partial} k[\Lambda]^{36} \xrightarrow{\partial} k[\Lambda]^{18} \xrightarrow{\partial} k[\Lambda]^{9} \xrightarrow{\partial} k[\Lambda]^{4} \xrightarrow{\partial} k$$

One of the 36 fully attached monomials of degree four is *adad*. It admits three relevant reductions $adad \xrightarrow{0} adad$, $adad \xrightarrow{1} cbad$ and

$adad \xrightarrow{3} bbdb$. This implies

$$\partial(E_{adad}) \;=\; a \cdot E_{dad} \;-\; c \cdot E_{bad} \;-\; b \cdot E_{bdb}.$$

Example 2 (The Koszul complex): Let $\Lambda = \mathbb{N}^d$. The Gröbner basis $\mathcal{G}$ consists of the relations $y_i y_j \to y_j y_i$ for $1 \le j < i \le n$. A word w is fully attached if and only if $w = y_{i_1} y_{i_2} \cdots y_{i_r}$ for $i_1 > i_2 > \cdots > i_r$. In this case $\partial(E_w) = \sum_{j=1}^{r} (-1)^{r-j} y_{i_j} E_{w_j}$ where $w_j = y_{i_1} \cdots y_{i_{j-1}} y_{i_{j+1}} \cdots y_{i_r}$. Hence $(\mathbf{F}, \partial)$ is the Koszul complex on n indeterminates.

Example 4.11 (The Cartan-complex)**.** If A is the exterior algebra, then $F_\bullet$ with

$$F_i \;:=\; \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \le j_1 < \ldots < j_r \\ l_1 \ldots l_r \in \mathbb{N} \\ i = \sum_{t=1}^{r} l_t}} A\, e_{i_1}^{(l_1)} \ldots e_{i_r}^{(l_r)}$$

$$e_{i_1}^{(l_1)} \ldots e_{i_r}^{(l_r)} \;\to\; \sum_{t=1}^{r} x_{i_t}\, e_{i_1}^{(l_1)} \ldots e_{i_t}^{(l_t-1)} \ldots e_{i_r}^{(l_r)}$$

defines a minimal resolution of k as A-module, called the Cartan-complex.

Proof. For the exterior algebra $A = k(x_1, \ldots, x_n)/\langle x_i x_j + x_j x_i \rangle$ the resolution $F_\bullet$ is by Corollary 4.6 minimal. The set of reduction rules is given by $\mathcal{R} := \{x_i^2 \to 0, x_i x_j \xrightarrow{-1} x_j x_i \text{ for } i < j\}$. Then the fully attached tuple are exactly the words

$$(x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \ldots, x_{i_2}, \ldots x_{i_r}, \ldots, x_{i_r}), \text{ with } 1 \le i_1 < \ldots < i_r \le n.$$

Since $x_i x_j$ is reduced to $-x_j x_i$, if $i \ne j$, and each reduction has factor (-1), we got for each reduction the coefficient $(-1)(-1) = 1$. Since $x_i x_i$ is reduced to 0, the differential follows. $\square$

The following example shows, that even in the case where the Gröbner basis is not finite one can apply our theory:

Example 4.12. Consider the two-side ideal $\mathfrak{a} = \langle x^2 - xy \rangle$. By [9] there does not exist a finite Gröbner basis with respect to degree-lex for $\mathfrak{a}$. One can show, that $\mathfrak{a} = \langle xy^n x - xy^{n+1} \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \rangle$ and that $\{xy^n x - xy^{n+1} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an infinite Gröbner basis with respect to degree-lex.

If one applies our matching from Lemma 4.2 it is easy to see, that the critical cells are given by tuples of the form

$$[x|y^{n_1}|x|y^{n_2}|x|\ldots|x|y^{n_l}|x] \text{ and } [x|y^{n_1}|x|y^{n_2}|x|\ldots|x|y^{n_l}],$$

with $n_1, \dots, n_l \in \mathbb{N}$.
By degree-reasons it follows, that the Morse complex is even a minimal resolution. Therefore we get a minimal resolution $F_\bullet$ of k over $A = k\langle x_1, \dots x_n\rangle/\mathfrak{a}$.

In this case, this proves that k does not admit a linear resolution and hence A is not Koszul.

5. APPLICATION TO THE ACYCLIC HOCHSCHILD COMPLEX

Hochschild homology is defined for arbitrary rings. Since we only consider the case $A = k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n\rangle/\mathfrak{a}$, we introduce the Hochschild homology only for k-algebras of this type. For the general definition see [3]. Our proofs still holds, if A is an R-algebra, where R is a commutative Ring and A is free as an R-module.

Let $A = k\langle x_1, \dots, x_n\rangle/\mathfrak{a}$ be the quotient algebra of a non-commutative polynomial ring by a two-side ideal $\mathfrak{a}$. The Hochschild complex $\mathsf{HC}_\bullet^A = (HC_i, \partial_i)$ is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} HC_i &:= A^{\otimes_k i+2}, \text{ for } i \leq -1, \\ \partial(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{i+1}) &:= \sum_{j=0}^{i} (-1)^j a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_j a_{j+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}$ acts on HC_i via

$$(\mu \otimes \gamma)(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{i+1}) = (\mu a_0) \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_i \otimes (a_{i+1})\gamma.$$

Let W be a basis of A as k-vectorspace, such that $1 \in W$. Then we can fix a basis of HC_i as $(A \otimes_k A^{\mathrm{op}})$-module:

$$\Big\{ [w_1 | \dots | w_i], \text{ with } w_j \in W. \Big\}$$

Here we identify $[w_1 | \dots | w_i]$ with $1 \otimes w_1 \otimes \dots \otimes w_i \otimes 1$.

In [3] it is proved, that $\mathsf{HC}_\bullet^A$ is a projective resolution of A as an $A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}$-module, called the standard Hochschild resolution, or the acyclic Hochschild complex.

We now consider the normalized acyclic Hochschild complex:

The following complex is called normalized standard Hochschild resolution, which is homotopic to the standard Hochschild resolution (a proof for the homotopy equivalence via algebraic Morse theory is given in the appendix):

(1) $HC_i^{\mathcal{M}}$ has basis $\{[w_1 | \dots | w_i] \mid w_j \in W \setminus \{1\}\}$.

(2) The differential is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_i([w_1|\dots|w_i]) &= (w_1\otimes 1)\,[w_2|\dots|w_i] + (-1)^i(1\otimes w_i)\,[w_1|\dots|w_{i-1}]\\ &\quad+\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(-1)^j\left(\sum_l a_l\,[w_1|\dots|w_{j-1}|w'_l|w_{j+1}\dots|w_i]\right),\end{aligned}$$

if $w_jw_{j+1} = a_0 + \sum_l a_l\, w'_l$, with $a_0, a_l \in k$ and $w'_l \in W \setminus \{1\}$.

If M is a A-bimodule, we regard it as a right $A\otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}$-module via $m(\mu\otimes\gamma) := \gamma m\mu$.

The Hochschild homology $HH(A,M)$ of A with coefficients in M is defined by the homology of the complex $M\otimes_{(A\otimes A^{\mathrm{op}})}\mathsf{HC}^A_\bullet$. In this case it follows, that $HH(A,M)\cong \mathrm{Tor}^{A\otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}}_\bullet(M,A)$ (see [3]).

Now, let $A = k\langle x_1,\dots,x_n\rangle/\langle f_1,\dots,f_s\rangle$ be the non-commutative (resp. commutative) polynomial ring in n indeterminates divided by a two-side ideal, where $f_1,\dots,f_s$ is a finite reduced Gröbner basis of $\mathfrak{a} = \langle f_1,\dots,f_s\rangle$ with respect to the degree-lex order. We now give an acyclic matching on the acyclic Hochschild complex, which is minimal in special cases. Let $\mathcal{G}$ be the set of standard monomials of degree ≥ 1 with respect to the degree-lex order. In this case the normalized acyclic Hochschild complex is given by:

$$HC_i \;:=\; \bigoplus_{w_1,\dots,w_i\in\mathcal{G}} (A\otimes A^{\mathrm{op}})\,[w_1|\dots|w_i],$$

with differential

$$\begin{aligned}\partial([w_1|\dots|w_i]) &:= (w_1\otimes 1)\,[w_2|\dots|w_i]\\ &\quad+(-1)^i(1\otimes w_i)[w_1|\dots|w_{i-1}]\\ &\quad+\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(-1)^j\left(\sum_r a_r[w_1|\dots|w_{j-1}|v_r^j|w_{j+2}|\dots|w_i]\right),\end{aligned}$$

if w_jw_{j+1} is reducible to $a_0 + \sum_r a_r v_r^j$ (if $w_jw_{j+1}\in\mathcal{G}$ we set $v_r^j = w_jw_{j+1}$).

We apply the same acyclic matching as in Section 4 (resp. Section 3).

Since in addition in this case the element $[w_1|\dots|w_i]$ also maps to $(-1)^i(1\otimes w_i)[w_1|\dots|w_{i-1}]$ we have to modify the differential:

The reduction-rules are the same as in Section 3, except that the reduction coefficient in Definition 3.5 is $(c\otimes 1)$ instead of c. In order to define the coefficient we say e can be reduced to f with coefficient c (we write $e \xrightarrow{c} f$), where $e = (w_1,\dots,w_l)$ and $f = (v_1,\dots,v_{l-1})$ are two fully attached l (resp. $l-1$)-tuples, if there exists a sequence of l-tuples $e = e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{r-1}$ such that either there exists:

(1) an l-tuple $e_r = (u, f)$, such that e_0 can reduced to e_r with reductions of Type I and III, i.e.
$$e_0 \xrightarrow{-a_1} e_1 \xrightarrow{-a_2} e_2 \xrightarrow{-a_3} \dots \xrightarrow{-a_r} e_r;$$
in this case we set $c := \left((-1)^r \prod_{i=1}^r a_i\right) (u \otimes 1)$, or

(2) an l-tuple $e_r = (f, u)$ such that e_0 can reduced to e_r with reductions of Type I and III, i.e.
$$e_0 \xrightarrow{-a_1} e_1 \xrightarrow{-a_2} e_2 \xrightarrow{-a_3} \dots \xrightarrow{-a_r} e_r;$$
in this case we set $c := \left((-1)^{r+k} \prod_{i=1}^r a_i\right) (1 \otimes u)$, or

(3) an l-tuple e_r, such that e_0 can reduced to e_r with reductions of Type I and III and e_r can reduced to f with a reduction of Type II, i.e.
$$e_0 \xrightarrow{-a_1} e_1 \xrightarrow{-a_2} e_2 \xrightarrow{-a_3} \dots \xrightarrow{-a_r} e_r \xrightarrow{(-1)^j b} f;$$
in this case we set $c := (-1)^{r+j}\, b \prod_{i=1}^r a_i$.

We define the reduction coefficient $[e, f]$ and the complex $F_\bullet$ as in Section 4 (resp. Section 3). With the same proof as in Section 4 (resp. Section 3) we obtain the following theorem:

Theorem 5.1. *$(F_\bullet, \partial)$ is a free resolution of A as an $A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}$-module. If no reduction of Type II is possible, then $(F_\bullet, \partial)$ is minimal.* □

Moreover we get similar results to the results from Section 3, 4 about minimality of $F_\bullet$ and rationality of the Poincaré-Betti series
$$P_k^{A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}}(\underline{x}, t) = \sum_{i,\alpha} \dim_k \left((\mathrm{Tor}_i^{(A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}})}(k, A))_\alpha \right) x^\alpha t^i$$
from Section 4 (resp. Section 3).

As in Section 3 we can give an explicit description of the minimal resolution $F_\bullet$ in the following cases:

(1) $A = S/\langle f_1, \dots, f_s\rangle$, where $S = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is the commutative polynomial ring in n indeterminates and f_i a reduced Gröbner basis with respect to the degree-lex order, such that the initial ideal is a complete intersection (note, in case $s = 1$ this resolution was first given by BACH (see. [4])).

(2) $A = E$, where E is the exterior algebra.

Let $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/\langle f_1, \dots, f_s\rangle$ be the commutative polynomial-ring in n indeterminates, with $f_i = x^{\gamma_i} + \sum_{\alpha_i \neq 0} f_{i,\alpha_i} x^{\alpha_i}$, $1 \leq i \leq s$ is a reduced Gröbner basis with respect to the degree-lex order, such that

x^{γ_i} is the leading term (since we start with the normalized Hochschild resolution, the condition $\alpha \neq 0$ is no restriction).

Let $\mathcal{G} = \{x^\alpha \mid x^\alpha \notin \langle x^{\gamma_1}, \dots, x^{\gamma_s} \rangle\}$ be the set of standard monomials of degree ≥ 1. We assume that the initial ideal $\mathrm{in}_\prec(\mathfrak{a}) = \langle x^{\gamma_1}, \dots, x^{\gamma_s} \rangle$ is a complete intersection. With the same arguments as in Theorem 3.10 it follows that $F_\bullet$ is minimal. We use the same notations as [4] and write

$$\begin{aligned} T(x_i) &= (x_i \otimes 1) - (1 \otimes x_i), \\ \frac{T_i(f)}{T(x_i)} &= \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} f_\alpha \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha_i - 1} (x^{\alpha_1} \cdots x^{\alpha_{i-1}} x^j \otimes x^{\alpha_i - 1 - j} x^{\alpha_{i+1}} \cdots x^{\alpha_n}). \end{aligned}$$

Under these conditions we get the following result:

Theorem 5.2. *Let $A = S/\langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle$, such that the initial ideal $\mathrm{in}_\prec(\langle f_1, \dots, f_s \rangle)$ is a complete intersection. Then the following complex is a multigraded minimal resolution of A as an $A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}$-module and carries the structure of a differential graded algebra.*

$$F_i := \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq j_1 < \ldots < j_q \leq s \\ l_1, \dots, l_q \in \mathbb{N} \\ i = r + 2\sum_{j=1}^q l_j}} A \otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}\, e_{i_r} \dots e_{i_1} t_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \dots t_{j_q}^{(l_q)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} e_{i_r} \dots e_{i_1} &\mapsto \sum_{m=1}^r (-1)^{\#\{i_j > i_m\}} T(x_{i_m})\, e_{i_r} \dots \widehat{e_{i_m}} \dots e_{i_1}, \\ t_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \dots t_{j_q}^{(l_q)} &\mapsto \sum_{m=1}^q \sum_{p=1}^n \frac{T_p(f_{j_m})}{T(x_p)}\, e_p t_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \dots t_{j_m}^{(l_{j_m} - 1)} \dots t_{j_q}^{(l_q)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $t_{i_j}^{(0)} := 1$, $e_i e_j = -e_j e_i$ and $e_i e_i = 0$. For the differential we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial(e_{i_r} \dots e_{i_1} t_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \dots t_{j_q}^{(l_q)}) &= \partial(e_{i_r} \dots e_{i_1}) t_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \dots t_{j_q}^{(l_q)} \\ &\quad + (-1)^r\, e_{i_r} \dots e_{i_1} \partial(t_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \dots t_{j_q}^{(l_q)}). \end{aligned}$$

Note, that in case $A = S/\langle f \rangle$ this result was first obtained in [4] and our complex coincides with the complex given in [4].

Corollary 5.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 5.2 the Hilbert series of the Hochschild homology of A with coefficients in k has the* *form:*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hilb}_{HH(A,k)}(\underline{x},t) &= \sum_{i,\alpha} \dim_k \left((\mathrm{Tor}_i^{A\otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}}(k,A)_\alpha \right) x^\alpha\, t^i \\ &= \frac{\displaystyle\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1+x_i\,t)}{\prod_{i=1}^{k}(1-x^{\gamma_i}t^2)}.\end{aligned}$$

If $\mathfrak{a}$ is the zero-ideal, we get with the same arguments the following special case:

Corollary 5.4. *Let $A = k[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$, then the following complex is a minimal resolution of A as an $A\otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}$-module.*

$$\begin{aligned}F_i &:= \bigoplus_{1\le i_1<\ldots<i_r\le n} A\otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}\; e_{i_1}\ldots e_{i_r} \\ e_{i_1}\ldots e_{i_r} &\mapsto \sum_{m=1}^{r}(-1)^{\#\{i_j<i_m\}}T(x_{i_m})\, e_{i_1}\ldots\widehat{e_{i_m}}\ldots e_{i_r}\end{aligned}$$

In particular we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hilb}_{HH(A,k)}(\underline{x},t) &= \sum_{i,\alpha} \dim_k \left((\mathrm{Tor}_i^{A\otimes A^{\mathrm{op}}})(k,A)_\alpha \right) x^\alpha\, t^i \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{n}(1+x_i\,t).\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 5.2. The description of the basis of F_i follows with exactly the same arguments as for the proof of Theorem 3.10. Since no constant term appears in the differential it suffices to verify that the differential has the given form.

First we consider a variable $[x_i]$. Clearly it maps to $(x_i\otimes 1)-(1\otimes x_i)$.

Next we consider a minimal fully attached tuple $w_j = \left| x_{\gamma_i} \left| \frac{x^{\gamma_i}}{x_{\gamma_i}} \right.\right|$, where $x_\gamma := x_{m(x^\gamma)}$. Then we have:

$$\left| x_{\gamma_i} \left| \frac{x^{\gamma_i}}{x_{\gamma_i}} \right.\right| \to -\sum_\alpha f_{i\alpha}|x^\alpha| \to \sum_\alpha f_{i\alpha} \left| x_\alpha \left| \frac{x^\alpha}{x_\alpha} \right.\right|.$$

As in the commutative case the multi-index α decreases successively, but here $\left[x_\beta \left| \frac{x^\alpha}{x_\beta x_\alpha} \right.\right]$, for $x_\beta = x_{m(x^\beta)}$ with $x^\beta := \frac{x^\alpha}{x_\alpha}$, maps in addition to $\left(1\otimes \frac{x^\alpha}{x_{a'}x_\alpha}\right)[x_{a'}]$ hence in this case we get:

$$\left| x_{\gamma_i} \left| \frac{x^{\gamma_i}}{x_{\gamma_i}} \right.\right| \to \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{T_j(f_i)}{T(x_j)}\;\; e_j.$$

For a fully attached tuple $[w_1, \ldots, w_l]$, we have to calculate the sign of the permutations. This calculation is similar to the calculation of the sign in the commutative case (see proof of Theorem 3.10) and is left to the reader.

With the bijection between the elements $e_{i_r} \ldots e_{i_1} t_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \ldots t_{j_q}^{(l_q)}$ and the fully attached tuples we finally get the following differential:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial(e_{i_r} \ldots e_{i_1} t_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \ldots t_{j_q}^{(l_q)}) \\
&= \sum_{m=1}^{r} (-1)^{\#\{i_j > i_m\}} T(x_{i_m})\, e_{i_r} \ldots \widehat{e_{i_m}} \ldots e_{i_1} t_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \ldots t_{j_q}^{(l_q)} \\
&\quad + \sum_{m=1}^{q} \sum_{\substack{p=1 \\ p \neq i_1, \ldots, i_r}}^{n} (-1)^r \, \frac{T_p(f_{j_m})}{T(x_p)} \, e_{i_r} \cdots e_{i_1} e_p t_{j_1}^{(l_1)} \ldots t_{j_m}^{(l_{j_m}-1)} \ldots t_{j_q}^{(l_q)},
\end{aligned}$$

and the desired result follows. □

We now consider the exterior algebra:

Theorem 5.5. *Let $E = k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/\langle x_i^2, x_i x_j + x_j x_i \rangle$ be the exterior algebra. The following complex is a minimal resolution of E as $E \otimes E^{\mathrm{op}}$-module:*

$$F_i := \bigoplus_{\substack{1 \leq i_1 < \ldots < i_r \leq n \\ l_1, \ldots, l_r \in \mathbb{N}^n}} E \otimes E^{\mathrm{op}} \, e_{i_1}^{(l_1)} \ldots e_{i_r}^{(l_r)},$$

with

$$e_{i_1}^{(l_1)} \ldots e_{i_r}^{(l_r)} \mapsto \sum_{j=1}^{r} (x_{i_j} \otimes 1) + (1 \otimes x_{i_j})\, e_{i_1}^{(l_1)} \ldots e_{i_j}^{(l_j - 1)} \ldots e_{i_r}^{(l_r)}.$$

In particular we have :

$$\mathrm{Hilb}_{HH(E,k)}(\underline{x}, t) = \sum_{i,\alpha} \dim_k \left((\mathrm{Tor}_i^{E \otimes E}(k, E))_\alpha \right) x^\alpha t^i.$$

Let S be the commutative polynomial-ring in n indeterminates then we have the following duality:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Hilb}_{HH(E,k)}(\underline{x}, t) &= \mathrm{Hilb}_S(\underline{x}, t), \\
\mathrm{Hilb}_{HH(S,k)}(\underline{x}, t) &= \mathrm{Hilb}_E(\underline{x}, t).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The proof is the same as in Example 4.11 from Section 4, with the modified differential. □

APPENDIX A. THE BAR- AND THE HOCHSCHILD-COMPLEX

In this section, we show how to obtain the normalized Bar-resolution (resp. the normalized acyclic Hochschild complex) via algebraic discrete Morse theory from the Bar resolution (resp. the acyclic Hochschild complex). Again we consider the Bar resolution (resp. the acyclic Hochschild complex) only for k-algebras A, but the proofs still holds for R-algebras A, where R is a commutative Ring and A is projective as an R-module. For the general definition of the Bar resolution (resp. the acyclic Hochschild complex) see [13] chap. 8.1. (resp. [3], chap. 2.11.).

Let A be a k-algebra and let W be a basis of A as a k-vectorspace such that $1 \in W$ and M an A-module. The Bar-resolution

$$\mathsf{B}_\bullet : \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{i+1}} B_i \xrightarrow{\partial_i} B_{i-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{i-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_1} B_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} B_0 = k$$

of M with respect to W is defined by:

(1) B_i is the free $A \otimes_k M$-module, generated by the tuples $[w_1|\cdots|w_i]$, with $w_1, \ldots, w_i \in W$.

(2) The differential $\partial_i : B_i \to B_{i-1}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_i([w_1|\cdots|w_i]) &= (w_1 \otimes 1)\ [w_2|\ldots|w_i] \\ &+\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(-1)^j \left(\begin{array}{c}(a_0 \otimes 1)\ [w_1|\ldots|w_{j-1}|1|w_{j+2}|\ldots|w_i] \\ +\sum_l (a_l \otimes 1)\ [w_1|\ldots|w_{j-1}|w_l'|w_{j+1}\ldots|w_i]\end{array}\right) \\ &+(-1)^i\ (1 \otimes w_i)\ [w_1|\ldots|w_{i-1}],\end{aligned}$$

if $w_j w_{j+1} = a_0 + \sum_l a_l\, w_l'$, with $a_0, a_l \in k$ and $w_l' \in W \setminus \{1\}$.

Proposition A.1 (Normalized Bar-Resolution). *Let A be a k-algebra and let W be a basis of A as a k-vectorspace such that $1 \in W$ and M an A-module. Then there is an acyclic matching $\mathcal{M}$ on the Bar resolution $\mathsf{B}_\bullet$ with respect to W such that the corresponding Morse-complex $\mathsf{B}_\bullet^{\mathcal{M}}$ is given by:*

(1) *$B_i^{\mathcal{M}}$ is the free $A \otimes M$-module, generated by the tuples $[w_1|\cdots|w_i]$, with $w_1, \ldots, w_i \in W \setminus \{1\}$.*

(2) *The Mores-differential $\partial_i^{\mathcal{M}}$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned}\partial^{\mathcal{M}}([w_1|\ldots|w_i]) &= (w_1 \otimes 1)\ [w_2|\ldots|w_i] \\ &+\sum_{j=1}^{i-1}(-1)^j \sum_l (a_l \otimes 1)\ [w_1|\ldots|w_{j-1}|w_l'|w_{j+1}\ldots|w_i] \\ &+(-1)^i\ (1 \otimes w_i)\ [w_1|\ldots|w_{i-1}],\end{aligned}$$

if $w_jw_{j+1} = a_0 + \sum_l a_l\, w_l'$, *with* $a_0, a_l \in k$ *and* $w_l' \in W \setminus \{1\}$.

In particular, $\mathsf{B}_\bullet^{\mathcal{M}}$ *is the normalized bar-resolution.*

Proof. We define the matching $\mathcal{M}$ by

$$[w_1|\dots|w_l|w_{l+1}|\dots|w_i] \to [w_1|\dots|w_lw_{l+1}|\dots|w_i] \in \mathcal{M},$$

if $w_l := \min(j \mid w_j = 1)$, $w_{l'} := \max(j \mid w_r = 1 \text{ for all } l \le r \le j)$ and $l' < i$ and $l' - l$ is odd. The invertibility is given, since in both cases the coefficient in the differential is ± 1:

$$\pm 1\, [w_1|\dots|w_lw_{l+1}|\dots|w_i] \in \partial([w_1|\dots|w_l|w_{l+1}|\dots|w_i].$$

It is easy to see, that the other conditions of an acyclic matching are satisfied as well. The critical cells are exactly the desired basis elements and an element $[w_1|\dots|w_i]$, for which $w_j = 1$ for some j is never mapped to an element $[w_1|\dots|w_i]$, with $w_j \neq 1$ for all j. This implies the formula for the Morse-differential. ☐

Let A be a k-algebra and let W be a basis of A as a k-vectorspace such that $1 \in W$. The acyclic Hochschild-complex

$$\mathsf{HC}_\bullet^A : \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{i+1}} C_i \xrightarrow{\partial_i} C_{i-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{i-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0 = k$$

with respect to W defined by:

1. C_i is the free $(A \otimes_k A^{\mathrm{op}})$-module generated by $[w_1|\cdots|w_i]$, with $w_1, \dots, w_i \in W$.
2. The differential ∂_i is given by

$$\partial_i([w_1|\dots|w_i]) = (w_1 \otimes 1)\, [w_2|\dots|w_i] + (-1)^i (1 \otimes w_i)\, [w_1|\dots|w_{i-1}] + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (-1)^j \begin{pmatrix} a_0\, [w_1|\dots|w_{j-1}|1|w_{j+2}|\dots|w_i] \\ + \sum_l a_l\, [w_1|\dots|w_{j-1}|w_l'|w_{j+1}\dots|w_i] \end{pmatrix},$$

if $w_jw_{j+1} = a_0 + \sum_l a_l\, w_l'$, with $a_0, a_l \in k$ and $w_l' \in W \setminus \{1\}$.

Proposition A.2 (Normalized Acyclic Hochschild Complex)**.** *Let A be a k-Algebra and let W be a basis of A as a k-vectorspace such that $1 \in W$. Then there is an acyclic matching $\mathcal{M}$ on the Hochschild-complex $\mathsf{HC}_\bullet^A$ of A such that the corresponding Morse-complex $\mathsf{HC}_\bullet^{\mathcal{M}}$ is given by:*

1. *$C_i^{\mathcal{M}}$ is the free $(A \otimes_k A^{\mathrm{op}})$-module generated by $[w_1|\cdots|w_i]$, with $w_1, \dots, w_i \in W \setminus \{1\}$.*
2. *The Morse-differential $\partial_i^{\mathcal{M}}$ is given by*

$$\partial_i([w_1|\dots|w_i]) = (w_1 \otimes 1)\, [w_2|\dots|w_i] + (-1)^i (1 \otimes w_i)\, [w_1|\dots|w_{i-1}] + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (-1)^j \left(\sum_l a_l\, [w_1|\dots|w_{j-1}|w_l'|w_{j+1}\dots|w_i] \right),$$

if $w_jw_{j+1} = a_0 + \sum_l a_l\, w_l'$, *with* $a_0, a_l \in k$ *and* $w_l' \in W \setminus \{1\}$.

Proof. The proof is essentially identical to the proof of Proposition A.1. □

Appendix B. Algebraic Discrete Morse Theory

In this section we give our prove of the Algebraic Discrete Morse theory (Theorem 2.2). We write $\Gamma_\downarrow(c, c')$ (resp. $\Gamma_\uparrow(c, c')$) for the sum of the weights of all those paths from c to c', for which the first step $c \to c_1$ satisfies $c \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}$ and $c_1 \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}}$ (resp. $c' \in X_{i+1}^{\mathcal{M}}$) In most cases it will be clear from the context, e.g. if c is critical, whether the first step increases or decreases dimension. Still for the sake of readability we will always equip Γ with the respective arrow.

¿From now on we assume always, that $\mathcal{M}$ satisfy the three conditions.

We first prove, that the Morse-differential satisfies $\partial_i^{\mathcal{M}} \circ \partial_{i+1}^{\mathcal{M}} = 0$.

Lemma B.1. *Let* $\mathcal{M} \subset E$ *be an acyclic matching on* $G(C_\bullet) = (V, E)$. *Then*

(P1) $\partial^{\mathcal{M}}$ *is a differential,* i *(i.e.* $\partial^{\mathcal{M}} \circ \partial^{\mathcal{M}} = 0$*).*

(P2) *For* $(\alpha, \beta, [\alpha : \beta]) \in \mathcal{M}$*, with* $\alpha \in X_{i+1}$*,* $\beta \in X_i$ *we have for all* $c \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}}$

$$\Gamma_\downarrow(\beta, c) = \sum_{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_\uparrow(\beta, c')\Gamma_\downarrow(c', c))$$

Proof. The proof is by induction over the cardinality of $\mathcal{M}$. In order to prove the induction we assume, that both properties are satisfied for smaller matchings.

Let $\mathcal{M} = \{(\alpha, \beta, [\alpha : \beta])\}$ be a matching of cardinality 1.

Property (P2):

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \partial^2(\alpha) &= \sum_{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}} [\alpha : c']\partial(c') + [\alpha : \beta]\partial(\beta) \\ &= \sum_{c \in X_{i-1}} \left(\sum_{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}} [\alpha : c'][c' : c] \right) c + \sum_{c \in X_{i-1}} [\alpha : \beta][\beta : c]c \\ &= -[\alpha : \beta] \sum_{c \in X_{i-1}} \left(\sum_{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}} \left(-\frac{1}{[\alpha : \beta]} \right) [\alpha : c'][c' : c] \right) c \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\quad + \sum_{c\in X_{i-1}} [\alpha:\beta][\beta:c]c \\
&= -[\alpha:\beta]\sum_{c\in X_{i-1}}\sum_{c'\in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_{\uparrow}(\beta,c')\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c',c)c + \sum_{c\in X_{i-1}}[\alpha:\beta][\beta:c]c \\
&= [\alpha:\beta]\sum_{c\in X_{i-1}}\left([\beta:c] - \sum_{c'\in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}}\Gamma_{\uparrow}(\beta,c')\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c',c)\right)c \\
&= [\alpha:\beta]\sum_{c\in X_{i-1}}\left(\Gamma_{\downarrow}(\beta,c) - \sum_{c'\in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}}\Gamma_{\uparrow}(\beta,c')\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c',c)\right)c
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $[\alpha:\beta]\in Z(R)\cap R^*$ is not a zero-divisor, and the critical cells are linearly independent we got the desired result:

$$\Gamma_{\downarrow}(\beta,c) - \sum_{c'\in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}}\Gamma_{\uparrow}(\beta,c')\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c',c) = 0$$

Property (P1): Let $c\in X_{i+1}^{\mathcal{M}}$ be a critical cell. We have to distinguish three cases. Note, that the validity of property (P2) has been established above.

Case 1: $(\partial^{\mathcal{M}})^2(c) = \partial^2(c)$. Since ∂ is a differential we are done.

Case 2: There exists elements $\beta\in X_i$ and $c\neq\alpha\in X_{i+1}$ with $[c:\beta]\neq 0$ and $\{(\alpha,\beta,[\alpha:\beta])\} = \mathcal{M}$. Then we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\partial^{\mathcal{M}})^2(c) &= \sum_{\beta\neq c'\leq c}[c:c']\partial^{\mathcal{M}}(c') + [c:\beta](-\frac{1}{[\alpha:\beta]})\sum_{\substack{c'\in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}\\ c'\neq\beta}}[\alpha:c']\partial^{\mathcal{M}}(c') \\
&= \sum_{\beta\neq c'\leq c}\sum_{c''\leq c'}[c:c'][c':c'']c'' \\
&\quad + [c:\beta](-\frac{1}{[\alpha:\beta]})\sum_{\substack{c'\in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}\\ c'\neq\beta}}\sum_{c''\leq c'}[\alpha:c'][c':c'']c'' \\
&= \sum_{c''\in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}}}\left(\sum_{\beta\neq c'\leq c}[c:c'][c':c''] \right. \\
&\quad \left. + [c:\beta](-\frac{1}{[\alpha:\beta]})\sum_{\substack{c'\in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}\\ c'\neq\beta}}[\alpha:c'][c':c'']\right)c''
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{c''\in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}}} \left(\sum_{\beta\neq c'\leq c} [c:c'][c':c''] + [c:\beta] \sum_{\substack{c'\in X_i^{\mathcal{M}'}\\ c'\neq\beta}} \Gamma_{\uparrow}(\beta,c')\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c',c'') \right) c'' \\
&\overset{(P2)}{=} \sum_{c''\in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}}} \left(\sum_{\beta\neq c'\leq c} [c:c'][c':c''] + [c:\beta]\Gamma_{\downarrow}(\beta,c'') \right) c'' \\
&= \sum_{c''\in X_{i-1}} \left(\sum_{c'\leq c} [c:c'][c':c''] \right) c'' = \partial^2(c) = 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Case 3: There exists element $\beta \in X_i$ and $\alpha \in X_{i-1}$ with $[c:\beta] \neq 0$ and $\{(\beta,\alpha,[\beta:\alpha])\} = \mathcal{M}$
Since $\partial^2(c) = 0$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sum_{c'\leq c} [c:c'][c':\alpha] \\
&= [c:\beta][\beta:\alpha] + \sum_{\substack{c'\leq c\\ c'\neq\beta}} [c:c'][c':\alpha] \\
&= [\beta:\alpha] \left([c:\beta] + \sum_{\substack{c'\leq c\\ c'\neq\beta}} \frac{1}{[\beta:\alpha]} [c:c'][c':\alpha] \right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $[\beta:\alpha] \in Z(R) \cap R^*$ is not a zero-divisor it follows

$$
[c:\beta] = \sum_{\substack{c'\leq c\\ c'\neq\beta}} \left(-\frac{1}{[\beta:\alpha]} \right) [c:c'][c':\alpha]. \tag{B.1}
$$

This observation allows us to deduce the desired result:

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\partial^{\mathcal{M}})^2(c) &= \sum_{\substack{c'\leq c\\ c'\neq\beta}} [c:c']\partial^{\mathcal{M}}(c') \\
&= \sum_{\substack{c'\leq c\\ c'\neq\beta}} \sum_{\substack{c''\leq c'\\ c''\neq\alpha}} [c:c'][c':c'']c'' \\
&\quad + \sum_{\substack{c''\leq\beta\\ c''\neq\alpha}} \underbrace{\left(\sum_{\substack{c'\leq c\\ c'\neq\beta}} [c:c'][c':\alpha] \left(-\frac{1}{[\beta:\alpha]} \right) \right)}_{=[c:\beta] \text{ by (B.1)}} [\beta:c'']c''
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{\substack{c' \leq c \\ c' \neq \beta}} \sum_{\substack{c'' \leq c' \\ c'' \neq \alpha}} [c:c'][c':c'']c'' + \sum_{\substack{c'' \leq \beta \\ c'' \neq \alpha}} [c:\beta][\beta:c'']c'' \\
&= \sum_{c' \leq c} \sum_{\substack{c'' \leq c' \\ c'' \neq \alpha}} [c:c'][c':c'']c'' = 0, \text{ since } \partial^2 = 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

We now assume properties (P1) and (P2) for matchings of cardinality $\leq n$. Let $\mathcal{M}$ be an acyclic matching of cardinality $n+1$. and $\mathcal{M}' := \mathcal{M} \setminus \{(\alpha, \beta, [\alpha : \beta])\}$, with $\alpha \in X_{i+1}^{\mathcal{M}'}$ and $\beta \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}'}$. Then α, β are critical with respect to $\mathcal{M}'$, and by induction $\mathcal{M}'$ satisfies (P1) and (P2).

Property (P2):

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 = (\partial^{\mathcal{M}'})^2(\alpha) = & \sum_{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}'}} \sum_{c \leq \alpha} [\alpha : c] \Gamma_\uparrow(c, c') \partial^{\mathcal{M}'}(c') \\
= \; & [\alpha : \beta] \partial^{\mathcal{M}'}(\beta) + \sum_{\substack{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}'} \\ c' \neq \beta}} \sum_{c \leq \alpha} [\alpha : c] \Gamma_\uparrow(c, c') \partial^{\mathcal{M}'}(c') \\
= \; & [\alpha : \beta] \partial^{\mathcal{M}'}(\beta) + \sum_{\substack{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}'} \\ c' \neq \beta}} \Gamma_\downarrow(\alpha, c') \partial^{\mathcal{M}'}(c') \\
= \; & [\alpha : \beta] \left(\sum_{c' \leq \beta} [\beta : c'] \sum_{c \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}'}} \Gamma_\uparrow(c', c) c \right) \\
& + \sum_{\substack{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}'} \\ c' \neq \beta}} \Gamma_\downarrow(\alpha, c') \sum_{c \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}'}} \Gamma_\downarrow(c', c) c \\
= \; & [\alpha : \beta] \left(\sum_{c \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}'}} \Gamma_\downarrow(\beta, c) c \right) \\
& - [\alpha : \beta] \sum_{c \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}'}} \left(- \frac{1}{[\alpha : \beta]} \right) \sum_{\substack{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}'} \\ c' \neq \beta}} \Gamma_\downarrow(\alpha, c') \Gamma_\downarrow(c', c) c \\
= \; & [\alpha : \beta] \sum_{c \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}}} (\Gamma_\downarrow(\beta, c) - \sum_{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_\uparrow(\beta, c') \Gamma_\downarrow(c', c)) c
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the critical cells are linearly independent and $[\alpha : \beta]$ is a unit, we got the desired result:

$$\Gamma_{\downarrow}(\beta, c) - \sum_{c' \in X_{\mathcal{M}}^{(i)}} \Gamma_{\uparrow}(\beta, c')\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c', c) = 0$$

Property (P1): Let $c \in X_{i+1}^{\mathcal{M}}$ be a critical cell. In order to prove the first statement, we have, as in case 1, to distinguish three cases:
Case 1: $(\partial^{\mathcal{M}})^2(c) = (\partial^{\mathcal{M}'})^2(c)$. Since by induction $(\partial^{\mathcal{M}'})^2 = 0$ we are done.
Case 2: There exists elements $c \neq \alpha \in X_{i+1}^{\mathcal{M}'}$ and $\beta \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}'}$ with $[c : \beta] \neq 0$ and $(\alpha, \beta, [\alpha : \beta]) \in \mathcal{M}$. Then we have:

$$\partial^{\mathcal{M}}(c) = \sum_{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}} [c : \beta]\Gamma_{\uparrow}(\beta, c')c' + \sum_{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c, c')c',$$

where the last sum is over all paths, which don't go through β. It follows

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial^{\mathcal{M}}(c))^2 &= \sum_{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}} [c : \beta]\Gamma_{\uparrow}(\beta, c')\partial^{\mathcal{M}}(c') + \sum_{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c, c')\partial^{\mathcal{M}}(c') \\
&= \sum_{c'' \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}}} [c : \beta] \left(\sum_{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_{\uparrow}(\beta, c')\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c', c'') \right) c'' + \sum_{c'' \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c, c'')c'' \\
&= \sum_{c'' \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}}} [c : \beta]\Gamma_{\downarrow}(\beta, c'')c'' + \sum_{c'' \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c, c'')c'' \\
&= \sum_{c'' \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}'}} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c, c'')c'' = 0, \text{ since by induction } \partial^{\mathcal{M}'} \circ \partial^{\mathcal{M}'} = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 3: There exists elements $\beta \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}'}$ and $\alpha \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}'}$ with $[c : \beta] \neq 0$ and $(\beta, \alpha, [\beta : \alpha]) \in \mathcal{M}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(\partial^{\mathcal{M}})^2(c) &= \sum_{\substack{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}} \\ c' \neq \beta}} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c, c')\partial^{\mathcal{M}}(c') \\
&= \sum_{c'' \neq \alpha} \left(\sum_{\substack{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}} \\ c' \neq \beta}} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c, c')[c' : \alpha] \left(-\frac{1}{[\beta : \alpha]} \right) \right) \Gamma_{\downarrow}(\beta, c'')c'' \\
&\quad + \sum_{c'' \neq \alpha} \left(\sum_{\substack{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}} \\ c' \neq \beta}} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c, c')\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c', c'') \right) c'' \qquad \text{(B.2)}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\overset{(*)}{=} \sum_{c''\neq\alpha} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,\beta)\Gamma_{\downarrow}(\beta,c'')c'' + \sum_{c''\neq\alpha}\left(\sum_{\substack{c'\in X^{\mathcal{M}}_{i-1}\\ c'\neq\beta}} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c')\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c',c'')\right)c''\\
&= \sum_{c''\neq\alpha}\left(\sum_{c'\in X_i^{\mathcal{M}'}}\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c')\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c',c'')\right)c''\\
&= 0 \text{ ,since } (\partial^{\mathcal{M}'})^2=0.
\end{aligned}$$

(In (B.3) $\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c',c'')$ counts only paths, which don't go through α). In $(*)$ we use the fact $\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,\beta)=\sum_{\substack{c'\in X^{\mathcal{M}}_{i}\\ c'\neq\beta}}\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c')\left(-\frac{1}{[\beta:\alpha]}\right)[c':\alpha]$, which holds with the same argument as in (B.1). □

In the following, we show that the Morse complex is homotopy equivalent to the original complex. Thereby, it will be possible to minimize a complex of free R-modules by means of Algebraic Discrete Morse theory.

Let $(C(X,R),\partial)$ be a complex of free R-modules, $\mathcal{M}\subset E$ a matching on the associated graph $G(C(X,R))=(V,E)$ and $(C(X^{\mathcal{M}},R),\partial^{\mathcal{M}})$ the Morse complex. We consider the following maps:

$$\text{(B.3)}\qquad \begin{array}{rcl} f: C(X,R) & \rightarrow & C(X^{\mathcal{M}},R)\\ c\in X_i & \mapsto & f(c):=\displaystyle\sum_{c'\in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}}\Gamma(c,c')c' \end{array}$$

$$\text{(B.4)}\qquad \begin{array}{rcl} g: C(X^{\mathcal{M}},R) & \rightarrow & C(X,R)\\ c\in X_i^{\mathcal{M}} & \mapsto & g_i(c):=\displaystyle\sum_{c'\in X_i}\Gamma(c,c')c' \end{array}$$

$$\text{(B.5)}\qquad \begin{array}{rcl} \chi: C(X,R) & \rightarrow & C(X,R)\\ c\in X_i & \mapsto & \chi_i(c):=\displaystyle\sum_{c'\in X^{(i+1)}}\Gamma(c,c')c' \end{array}$$

Then:

Lemma B.2. *The maps f and g are homomorphisms of complexes of free R-modules. In particular,*

(C1) $\partial^{\mathcal{M}}\circ f = f\circ\partial$.
(C2) $\partial\circ g = g\circ\partial^{\mathcal{M}}$.

Lemma B.3. *The maps g and f define a chain homotopy. In particular,*

(H1) $g_i \circ f_i - \mathrm{id} = \partial \circ \chi_{i+1} + \chi_i \circ \partial$, *i.e. it is null-homotopic,*
(H2) $f_i \circ g_i - \mathrm{id} = 0$, *in particular $f \circ g$ is null-homotopic.*

Corollary B.4 (Thm. 2.2)**.** *$C(X^{\mathcal{M}}, R)$ is a complex of free R-modules and*

$$H_i(C(X,R),R) = H_i(C(X^{\mathcal{M}},R),R).$$

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Lemma B.3. $\square$

Proof of Lemma B.2: Property (C1): Let $c \in X_i$. Then:

$$\partial^{\mathcal{M}} \circ f(c) = \partial^{\mathcal{M}} \left(\sum_{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_{\uparrow}(c,c')c' \right) = \sum_{c'' \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}}} \sum_{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_{\uparrow}(c,c')\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c',c'')c''.$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ \partial(c) = {} & f\left(\textstyle\sum_{c' \leq c} [c:c']c'\right) \\ = {} & \sum_{c'' \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}}} \sum_{c' \leq c} [c:c']\Gamma_{\uparrow}(c',c'')c'' \\ = {} & \sum_{c'' \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c''). \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma B.1 (P2) the assertion now follows.

Property (C2): Let $c \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \circ g(c) = {} & \sum_{c'' \leq c} [c:c'']c'' + \sum_{c' \in X_i} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c') \sum_{c'' \leq c'} [c':c'']c'' \\ = {} & \underbrace{\sum_{c'' \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c'')c''}_{(A)} \\ & + \underbrace{\sum_{c' \in X_i} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c') \sum_{\substack{c'' \leq c' \\ (c'',\beta,[c'':\beta]) \in \mathcal{M}}} [c':c'']c''}_{(B)} \\ & + \underbrace{\sum_{c' \in X_i} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c') \sum_{\substack{c'' \leq c' \\ (\beta,c'',[\beta:c'']) \in \mathcal{M}}} [c':c'']c''}_{(C)} \end{aligned}$$

We have $(C) = 0$: Fix $c'' \in X_{i-1}$ and $\beta \in X_i$ such that the edge $(\beta, c'', [\beta : c'']) \in \mathcal{M}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{c' \in X_i} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c')[c' : c''] &= \sum_{c' \neq \beta} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c')[c' : c'']c'' + \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,\beta)[\beta : c'']c'' \\
&= \sum_{c' \neq \beta} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c')[c' : c'']c'' \\
&\quad + \left(\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c'')\left(-\tfrac{1}{[\beta : c'']}\right)\right)[\beta : c'']c'' \\
&= \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c'')c'' - \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c'')c'' = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand:

$$\begin{aligned}
g \circ \partial^{\mathcal{M}}(c) &= g\left(\sum_{c' \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c : c')c'\right) \\
&= \underbrace{\sum_{c' \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c')c'}_{(A)} + \underbrace{\sum_{c' \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}}} \sum_{\substack{c'' \in X_{i-1} \\ (c'',\beta,[c'':\beta]) \in \mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c')\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c',c'')c''}_{(D)}
\end{aligned}$$

We will verify $(B) = (D)$: Consider the matching $\mathcal{M}' \setminus \{(c'', \beta, [c'', \beta])\}$. Since c'' and β are critical cells in $\mathcal{M}'$ it follows by Lemma B.1 (P1) (i.e. $(\partial^{\mathcal{M}'})^2 = 0$) that

$$0 = \sum_{\substack{c' \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}'} \\ c' \neq c''}} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c')\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c',\beta) + \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c'')[c'' : \beta].$$

Multiplying by $\left(-\frac{1}{[c''.\beta]}\right)$ yields:

$$\sum_{c' \in X_{i-1}^{\mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c')\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c',c'') = \sum_{c' \in X_i} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c')[c' : c''].$$

Thus $(B) = (D)$. $\square$

Proof of Lemma B.3: Property (H2): Let $c \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}$. The map g sends c to a sum over all $c' \in X_i$ that can be reached from c. Since c is critical, c' can be reached from c if either $c = c'$ or there is a $c'' \in X_{i-1}$, such that $(c', c'', [c' : c'']) \in \mathcal{M}$. Moreover,

$f(c) = 0$, is there is a $c' \in X_{i-1}$ such that $(c, c', [c : c']) \in \mathcal{M}$.

Since f and g are R-linear it follows that $f_i \circ g_i(c) = f_i(c)$. ¿From $f_{X_{\mathcal{M}}} = \mathrm{id}$ we infer the assertion.

Property (H1): We distinguish *Case 1:* Assume c is critical. Then

$$g_i \circ f_i - \mathrm{id}(c) = g_i(c) - c = \sum_{\substack{c' \in X_i \\ (c',\beta,[c':\beta]) \in \mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c')c'$$

Moreover, $\chi_i(c) = 0$, in particular, $\partial \circ \chi_i(c) = 0$.

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(\partial(c)) = {} & \chi\left(\textstyle\sum_{c' \leq c}[c:c']c'\right) \\
= {} & \sum_{c' \leq c}[c:c'] \sum_{c'' \in X_i} \Gamma_{\uparrow}(c',c'')c'' \\
= {} & \sum_{\substack{c'' \in X_i \\ (c'',\beta,[c':\beta]) \in \mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c'')c'' = (g_i \circ f_i - \mathrm{id})(c).
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2: There is an $\alpha \in X_{i-1}$ such that $(c,\alpha,[c:\alpha]) \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $\chi(c) = 0$ and $(g_i \circ f_i - \mathrm{id})(c) = -\mathrm{id}(c) = -c$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi(\partial(c)) = {} & \chi\left(\sum_{c' \leq c}[c:c']c'\right) \\
= {} & \sum_{c' \leq c}[c:c'] \sum_{c'' \in X_i} \Gamma_{\uparrow}(c',c'')c'' \\
= {} & [c:\alpha]\left(-\tfrac{1}{[c:\alpha]}\right)c \\
& + \sum_{\substack{c' \leq c \\ c' \neq \alpha}}[c:c'] \sum_{\substack{c'' \in X_i \\ c'' \neq \alpha}} \Gamma_{\uparrow}(c',c'')c'' + [c:\alpha] \sum_{c'' \in X_i} \Gamma_{\uparrow}(\alpha,c'')c''
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\Gamma_{\uparrow}(\alpha,c'') = \left(-\frac{1}{[c:\alpha]}\right) \sum_{\substack{c' \leq c \\ c' \neq \alpha}}[c:c']\Gamma_{\uparrow}(c',c''),$$

the assertion follows.
Case 3: There is an $\alpha \in X_{i+1}$ such that $(\alpha,c,[\alpha:c]) \in \mathcal{M}$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned}
(g_i \circ f_i - \mathrm{id})(c) = {} & \underbrace{-c + \sum_{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_{\uparrow}(c,c')c'}_{(A)} \\
& + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{c'' \in X_i \\ (c'',\beta,[c'':\beta]) \in \mathcal{M}}} \sum_{c' \in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_{\uparrow}(c,c')\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c',c'')c''}_{(B)}.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand:

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial\chi(c) = {} & \partial\left(\sum_{c'\in X_{i+1}} \Gamma_\uparrow(c,c')c'\right)\\
= {} & \sum_{c'\in X_{i+1}} \Gamma_\uparrow(c,c') \sum_{c''\le c'} [c':c'']c''\\
= {} & \sum_{c''\le\alpha} \left(-\frac{1}{[\alpha:c]}\right)[\alpha:c'']c''\\
& +\sum_{c'\neq\alpha}\Gamma_\uparrow(c,c')\sum_{c''\le c'}[c':c'']c''\\
= {} & -c + (A) + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{c''\in X_i\\(c'',\beta,[c'':\beta])\in\mathcal{M}}} \sum_{c'\neq\alpha}\Gamma_\uparrow(c,c')\sum_{c''\le c'}[c':c'']c''}_{(C)}\\
& + \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{c''\in X_i\\(\beta,c'',[\beta:c''])\in\mathcal{M}}} \sum_{c'\neq\alpha}\Gamma_\uparrow(c,c')\sum_{c''\le c'}[c':c'']c''}_{(D)}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi\partial(c) = \chi\left(\sum_{c'\le c}[c:c']c'\right) & \\
= {} & \sum_{\substack{c''\in X_i\\(c'',\beta,[c'':\beta])\in\mathcal{M}}} \sum_{c'\le c}[c:c']\Gamma_\uparrow(c',c'')c''\\
= {} & \underbrace{\sum_{\substack{c''\in X_i\\(c'',\beta,[c'':\beta])\in\mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_\downarrow(c,c'')c''}_{(E)}
\end{aligned}$$

We show:

(a) $(D) = 0$.
(b) $(E) + (C) = (B)$.

Assertion (a); Fix $c'' \in X_i$ and $\beta \in X_{i+1}$ such that $(\beta, c'', [\beta : c'']) \in \mathcal{M}$. Then:

$$\sum_{c'\in X_{i+1}} \Gamma_\uparrow(c,c')[c':c'']$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{c'\neq\beta}\Gamma_{\uparrow}(c,c')[c':c'']c'' + \Gamma_{\uparrow}(c,\beta)[\beta:c''] \\
&= \sum_{c'\neq\beta}\Gamma_{\uparrow}(c,c')[c':c'']c'' \\
&\quad + \left(\Gamma_{\uparrow}(c,c'')\left(-\frac{1}{\beta:c'']}\right)\right)[\beta:c'']c'' \\
&= \Gamma(_{\uparrow}(c,c'')c'' - \Gamma_{\uparrow}(c,c'')c'' = 0.
\end{aligned}
$$

Assertion (b); Let $c'' \in X_i$ and $\beta \in X_{i-1}$ such that $(c'', \beta, [c'':\beta]) \in \mathcal{M}$. Consider the matching $\mathcal{M}' = \mathcal{M} \setminus \{(c'', \beta, [c'':\beta])\}$. Then by Lemma B.1 (P2)

$$\sum_{c'\in X_i^{\mathcal{M}'}} \Gamma_{\uparrow}(c,c')\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c',\beta) = \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,\beta)$$

Since c'' is critical with respect to $\mathcal{M}'$ it follows that

$$\sum_{\substack{c'\in X_i^{\mathcal{M}'} \\ c'\neq c''}} \Gamma_{\uparrow}(c,c')\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c',\beta) + \Gamma_{\uparrow}(c,c'')[c'':\beta] = \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,\beta).$$

Multiplying the equation with $\left(-\frac{1}{[c'':\beta]}\right)$, yields

$$\sum_{c'\in X_i^{\mathcal{M}}} \Gamma_{\uparrow}(c,c')\Gamma_{\downarrow}(c',c'') = \sum_{c'\in X_{i+1}} \Gamma_{\uparrow}(c,c')[c':c''] + \Gamma_{\downarrow}(c,c''),$$

where paths are taken with respect to the matching $\mathcal{M}$. Hence $(B) = (C) + (E)$. □

References

[1] D.J. Anick, *On the homology of associative algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **296**, (1986), 641-659.

[2] E. Batzies, V. Welker, *Discrete Morse theory for cellular resolutions*, J. reine u. angew. Math. **543** (2002), 147-168.

[3] D.J. Benson, *Representations and cohomolgy, II: Cohomology of groups and modules*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **31**, Cambridge (1991).

[4] The Buenos Aires Cyclic Homology Group, *Hochschild and cyclic homology of hypersurfaces*, Adv. Math. **95**, (1992), 18-60.

[5] R. Forman, *Morse-Theory for cell-complexes*, Adv. Math. **134**, (1998), 90-145.

[6] R. Forman, *A user's guide to discrete Morse theory*, Sem. Loth. de Comb. **48**, (2002).

[7] T.H. Gulliksen, G. Levin, *Homology of local rings*, Queen's Papers in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 20. Kingston, Ontario: Queen's University. X, (1969), p. 192

[8] J. E. Hopcroft, R. Motwani, Rotwani, J. D. Ullman, *Introduction to Automata Theory, Languages and Computability*, 2nd edition, Addison-Wesley Longman Publishing Co., Inc. Boston, MA, USA, (2000).

[9] Li Huishis, *Noncommutative Gröbner Bases and Filtered-Graded Transfer*, Springer Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg, (2002).

[10] M. Jöllenbeck, *On the multigraded Hilbert and Poincaré series and the Golod property of monomial rings*, Preprint, Philipps-Universität Marburg, (2004)

[11] E. Sköldberg, *Combinatorial discrete Morse theory from an algebraic viewpoint*, Preprint, Stockholm University, (2003).

[12] E-Mail by B. Sturmfels.

[13] A. Weibel, *An Introduction to Homological Algebra*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **38**, Cambridge (1994).

Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik, Philipps-Universität Marburg, 35032 Marburg, Germany
E-mail address: `joella@mathematik.uni-marburg.de`

Fachbereich Mathematik und Informatik, Philipps-Universität Marburg, 35032 Marburg, Germany
E-mail address: `welker@mathematik.uni-marburg.de`